





White paper For professional investors March 2021

Sam Brasser





Contents

Executive summary	. 4
Healthy and sustainable food is a win-win-win	. 6
Global food consumption is changing	. 9
Engagement with companies improves meat supply chain	12
Plant-based food appeals to sustainability-minded consumers	14
Meal kit companies are reinventing the grocery supply chain	19
Ingredients: enablers of healthy and sustainable food	23
Food giants vs. smaller brands	25
Restaurant technology	29
Seafood is efficient and the Chinese love it	32
Cultured meat is not commercially viable yet	34

Executive summary

Shifts in consumer preferences to healthier and sustainably produced food offers investors attractive investment opportunities in segments such as plant-based food and beverages, meal kits, and food ingredients. Pure-play food companies in these segments are becoming more relevant to consumers, operate in a very large market, and have relatively low product penetration. This provides them excellent growth opportunities.

Challenges remain for food giants because they still derive most of their sales from relatively unhealthy food, they are improving, however. Smaller packaged-food companies with a better health and sustainability profile are better positioned for now.

Rising seafood consumption, income levels and premiumization in China benefits listed salmon producers who are experiencing growing export sales to the country. Cultured meat looks promising from an environmental perspective and production costs are decreasing. Its commercial viability is unclear.

Indirectly related to food, we believe companies supplying professional kitchen equipment are attractive because they benefit from growing demand for restaurant food, whether it's eaten in an actual restaurant or delivered to the home. In addition, companies that are digital enablers for the restaurant industry and have attractive subscription and transaction-fee-based business models.

Table 1 provides an overview of the various food segments investors can get exposure to and assesses the investment attractiveness of each segment.

Table 1 | Investment opportunities of the food industry

Investment attractiveness	Food segment	Positives +	Negatives -
High	Plant-based food and beverages	High growth food category (approx. 10% to 15% annually), low penetration in very large market, low environmental impact	Uncertain brand longevity, increasing competition
High	Meal kits	Scalable, superior margins, profitable, asset light, high growth (approx. 40% annually), winner-takesmost, reduces food waste, consumer convenience, pull-model supply chain	Customer churn, competition from restaurant food delivery and e-grocery
High	Restaurant software	Subscription and transaction-based business model, scalable, digitalization, asset light, rapid growth	Competition from aggregators

Table 1 (continued) | Investment opportunities of the food industry

Investment attractiveness	Food segment	Positives +	Negatives -
Medium	Food ingredients	Established business model, predictable revenues, high value-add product, high barriers to entry sector, enablers of healthy and sustainable food	Slower growth (approx. 3% to 6% annually), exposure to unhealthy food
Medium	Smaller packaged food companies	Higher volume growth, better health and sustainability profile, established brands, everyday repeat consumer staples	Competition from food giants, smaller scale
Medium	Seafood	Growing seafood demand from China, limited supply, resource efficient food	Commodity-like pricing, biological risks (disease), threat from land-based farming
Medium	Professional kitchen equipment	Rising restaurant food demand, upgrade cycle, high margin sticky service contracts, industry consolidation	Discretionary purchase that can be postponed
Low	Food giants	Large portfolios of strong brands, efficient global supply chains, scale, high margins, good returns on capital	Slow volume growth, large investment needed to pivot to healthy food and sustainable production
Low	Cultured meat	Low environmental impact, real meat replacement vs. plant-based meat, decreasing production costs, first products approved	No commercial viability yet, high sales price, consumer acceptance unclear

Healthy and sustainable food is a win-win-win

In the last 50 years, global food production and dietary patterns have changed substantially. Our focus on increasing crop yields and improving production have helped improve life expectancy and reduce hunger, infant and child mortality rates, and global poverty. However, these benefits are offset by massive environmental degradation due to unsustainable farming practices, and health problems ranging from obesity to heart disease as diets become higher calorie and heavily processed.²

The first win: improved eating habits benefit humans and healthy food companies

According to the World Health Organization, overweight and obesity have tripled since 1975,3 with 1.9 billion adults being overweight and 650 million of those being obese, equating to 39% and 13% of all adults respectively. At the same time, 462 million or 9.2% of adults are underweight. The coexistence of overweight and underweight has created a double burden of malnutrition in our world.

Large parts of the global population are overweight



Source: WHO Global Health Observatory. Date: 2018

Dietary risks are linked to 11 million, or 19%, of deaths globally per year. This is more than from any other risks including smoking, according to the UN's Global Burden of Disease Study.⁴ Death is the worst ultimate outcome of poor health but does not tell the whole story. In public health, disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) is a measure of overall disease burden, expressed as the number of years lost due to ill health, disability or early death. In 2017, 255 million DALYs were attributable to dietary risk factors, a substantial loss of healthy living years.

¹ Whitmee, S. et al., 2015, "Safeguarding human health in the Anthropocene epoch", The Lancet. ² Springmann, M., 2016, "Analysis and valuation of the health and climate change co-benefits of dietary change", PNAS.

³ WHO. Double burden of malnutrition. Date: 2018.


⁴ Murray, C. J., 2019, "Health effects of dietary risks in 195 countries, 1990–2017: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study", The Lancet.

Based on growing general awareness regarding healthy food and these alarming statistics, we expect consumers to increase their spending on a wholesome and nutritious diet. We also expect governments to push the food industry into a healthier direction, either through regulation or incentives. These two expectations lead us to believe that food companies selling healthy products will surpass the broader food industry. We also see a role for companies enabling the production and distribution of healthy food such as kitchen equipment manufacturers and meal kit companies.

The second win: healthy diets could save the world USD 4.8 trillion a year

Unhealthy diets cost us dearly in economic terms. In 2014, McKinsey estimated the global economic impact from obesity to be USD 2 trillion or 2.8% of global GDP, roughly equivalent to the global impact of smoking or armed violence, war, and terrorism.⁵ On top of that, in 2013, the UN's Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) estimated undernutrition and micronutrient deficiencies from poor diets cost USD 1.8 trillion or 2.5% of global GDP.⁶ Obesity, undernutrition and nutritional deficiencies increase health care expenditure and leads to lost productivity damaging our economies. The solution is straightforward: shifting to healthy diets would lead to an estimated 97% reduction in direct and indirect health costs, according to the FAO.⁷

Where obesity places the biggest burden on healthcare



■ Avg. yearly health expenditure per capita due to obesity 2020-2050 ● % of total health expenditure

Source: OECD. Date: 2020.

The third win: sustainability is becoming more important in consumer purchasing decisions

In addition to healthy eating, we believe sustainability is becoming a more important factor for consumers when purchasing food. On a call with global food giant Nestlé, management pointed out that 20% of consumers are willing to pay more for products with a sustainable image, and 60% of consumers factor sustainability into their buying decisions. Therefore, we believe companies selling sustainable produced food are positioned well. Think of plant-based food, seafood from aquaculture, or reduced food waste concepts such as meal kits.


Consumers are becoming more conscious about the environmental impact of their food consumptions. That environmental impact is not be underestimated: about 26% of all greenhouse gas emissions are attributable to the

⁵ McKinsey. Overcoming obesity: an initial economic analysis. Date: 2014.

⁶ FAO. The State of Food and Agriculture. Date: 2013.

⁷ FAO. The State of Food Security and Nutrition in the World. Date: 2020.

food system.8 Agriculture is responsible for 78% for of ocean and freshwater pollution, and half of the world's habitable land is used for agriculture. 9 Conversion of natural ecosystems and habitats to croplands and grazing pastures is the largest factor causing species to be threatened with extinction. 10 Global food production is damaging climate stability and ecosystem resilience. Transforming the current food system to a sustainable one is a win for our planet.



Source: Poore, J. and Nemecek. T., 2018. "Reducing food's environmental impacts through producers and consumers", Science.

Investors in healthy and sustainable food companies could benefit from the win-win-win scenario

Food could be the strongest lever to optimize human health and environmental stability on our planet, according to the 2020 EAT-Lancet Commission.¹¹ Transforming eating habits, improving food production, and reducing food waste creates a win-win-win scenario for human health, environmental sustainability, and our economy. Health benefits, increasing importance of sustainability, and a substantial economic impact could encourage consumers to adopt more healthy and sustainable diets. Investors in healthy and sustainable food companies stand to benefit.

⁸ Poore, J. and Nemecek. T., 2018. "Reducing food's environmental impacts through producers and consumers", Science.

⁹ UN FAO. Land use indicators. Date: 2018.

¹⁰ Tilman, D., 2017, "Future threats to biodiversity and pathways to their prevention", Nature.

¹¹ The EAT-Lancet Commission on Food, Planet, Health brought together 37 world-leading scientists from across the globe. The EAT-Lancet report is the first full scientific review of what constitutes a healthy diet from a sustainable food system.


Global food consumption is changing

If we were perfectly rational human beings, we would stick to healthy sustainable diets for our own and the planets' benefit alone. At the same time, governments would invest heavily to prevent diet-related diseases: OECD analysis shows that every dollar spent on preventing obesity generates a six-fold economic return. But judging by the 2 billion overweight people, of whom 650 million are obese, by the environmental impact of agriculture, and by the reluctance of governments to encourage healthy eating through lower taxes, however, we might not be so rational after all. This begs the question: can people change their eating habits? The answer to that begins in examining current trends.

Global food consumption is growing at 1% to 2.5%

When taking a helicopter view of global food consumption, depicted in the graph below, not much seems to be changing because people are eating more, and populations are growing. Worldwide, humans will consume more food in the next decade just as they did in the last decade. Worldwide food consumption is growing in the range of 1% to 2.5%, modest to say the least. This leads us to believe that food company investors are better off looking for more highgrowth niches. In the following sections, we will highlight which ones we find attractive.

World food consumption is increasing is growing steadily



Source: FAO and OECD, Date: 2019.

US consumers deviate from global food consumption trends

Regional differences exist when looking at food consumption trends. Zooming in slightly on the US, we see that sugar and dairy consumption are decreasing. Although declines in demand seem small, they are felt by the business community. The US's biggest milk producers are going bankrupt. 12 To some extent we saw that coming though, because milk consumption has fallen 40% since 1975. The fall in cow's-milk consumption is partly replaced by increasing demand for plant-based dairy products. For example, US oat milk sales increased 351% for refrigerated varieties and 106% for shelf-stable products in 2020, albeit from a small base. 13

US consumers continue to eat less sugar and dairy 2% 1% 0% -1% -2% 020-29 Vegetables Oilseeds Sugar Meat Dairy ■ Due to population growth ■ Due to per capita demand growth □Total demand growth

Source: FAO and OECD. Date: 2019.

Chinese are expected to eat more oilseeds, sugar, meat and fish per capita

Regional differences are also visible in China. The growth in food consumption is being driven by individual consumers eating more per person. In the coming decade, Chinese are expected to eat more oilseeds, sugar, meat and fish per capita. Dairy consumption growth is low at 0.3% annually. This also has a direct impact on companies such as Chr. Hansen from Denmark that produces ingredients for milk, yoghurt and cheese. The company has adjusted its revenue growth expectations downward, citing amongst other things slowing Chinese dairy consumption. By looking at these overall food growth trends, investors are unlikely to get very excited. Also, in the case of China, investors could focus on growth niches such as seafood, which we will touch on later.

¹² AP News, 2019, "No. 1 milk company declares bankruptcy amid drop in demand".

¹³ Fooddive, 2020, "Oat milk surges to second most popular in plant-based dairy".

Chinese food consumption is driven by per capita consumption



Source: FAO and OECD. Date: 2019.

Investment implications


A helicopter view of food consumption as described in the previous paragraphs shows that large food categories are growing slowly. Having a large fast-growing economy like China does not guarantee consumption growth for food companies. We think investors should seek niches such as plant-based food that are experiencing higher growth, driven

Engagement with companies improves meat supply chain

It's not only 'wet animal markets' that are causing health risks, as we have seen through the Covid-19 outbreak. The whole meat supply chain poses a threat to both global health and the environment. Marked by concerns surrounding deforestation and high carbon emissions, as well as issues of animal welfare, health and safety in factories and general working conditions, the meat industry has come under repeated scrutiny, even before Covid-19. The industry needs to undergo radical change if it is to meet the UN Sustainable Development Goals and the Paris Agreement by 2030. To help companies within the meat supply chain address these issues and to ensure their resilience in the future, Robeco has been engaging with companies in the meat supply chain for many years now.

Through the recent three-year engagement, we worked with companies from across the food supply chain, from bioscience companies, (meat) processors to retailers, trying to gauge if the respective companies took the appropriate steps to address issues within their meat supply chains. Our engagement objectives focused on animal welfare, labor standards, product quality and safety management, aquaculture practices and innovation management. The objectives set expectations for companies to establish policies concerning the highlighted issues, increase traceability and labelling practices around their products and take an active role in educating their customers around the health impacts of meat consumption. However, our dialogue also focused on the innovation opportunities in probiotics, seafood innovation, automation of processing facilities and product diversification to capture the opportunities of alternative protein.

After establishing an indicator framework, and conducting extensive dialogues with the companies, we found positive progress among most companies towards greater sustainability of the industry. Overall, 53% of our engagements were concluded successfully, with most progress achieved along our innovation objectives. Through our engagement we helped companies to become more transparent with regards to their R&D processes and internal innovation culture, to adhere to higher industry benchmarks and to rethink their product portfolios to better fit emerging consumer patterns surrounding local, organic or plant-based meats. For example, one company under engagement was able to boost the commercialization of their probiotics and animal health products by 12% over all the quarters. While we have observed significant improvements throughout our engagement program, there are still many challenges which require systematic innovation to future-proof the meat supply chain.



Source: Robeco. Date: 2019

Plant-based food is a fraction of the total food market but is growing fast



Plant-based food appeals to sustainability-minded consumers

Consumers are increasingly considering sustainability when making buying decisions in grocery stores, restaurants, and meal delivery apps. Plant-based food is fulfilling the desire among consumers to eat more sustainably. But is it truly sustainable? A much-cited study in the American Journal for Clinical Nutrition finds that a plant-based diet has a lower environmental impact than a meat-based diet. 14 In a consumer survey among US and European consumers by UBS, 51% of respondents listed environmental friendliness as a reason why they would buy more plant-based meat. 15 Hence, plant-based food companies have a tailwind of growth driven by consumer desire to eat more sustainable food.



Figure 1 | Only a limited number of plant-based food companies are listed

Plant-based food is not always good for our health

In the same UBS survey, 50% of respondents said they would buy more plant-based meat because they think it's healthier than animal-based meat. The scientific evidence for plant-based food's healthiness, though, depends on the extent to which the plant in question has been processed.

In fact, most plant-based meat and dairy substitutes are heavily processed. A Harvard study on the nutritional contents of well-known meatless burgers Beyond Burger and Impossible Burger says they are rich in protein, vitamins and minerals, but also contain high amounts of saturated fat and salt. 16 So, while the Global Burden of Disease Study – which involved 500 researchers from more than 300 institutions in 50 countries examined 100,000 data sources – concluded that the top four foods we need to consume more of to reduce our overall risk of death are fruits, nuts, seeds, vegetables, and whole grains, ¹⁷ plant-based food might not always be the best option for our health, if it comes in a processed rather than whole-food form. However, the trend does reflect increasing awareness of animal-based diets on health, and plant-based food companies will benefit from it.


¹⁴ Pimentel, D. and Pimentel, M., 2003, "Sustainability of meat-based and plant-based diets and the environment", The American journal of clinical nutrition.

¹⁵ UBS Evidence Lab on Plant-Based Meat. Date: 2020.

¹⁶ Emily Gelsomin. Harvard Medical School. Date: 2019.

¹⁷ Das, P. and Samarasekera, U., 2012, "The story of GBD 2010: a 'super-human' effort", The Lancet.

Dairy and meat alternatives are still only a fraction of EU and UK total market



Source: Euromonitor. Date: 2020.

Companies with plant-based food products have a long runway of growth ahead

In their quest for more sustainable and healthy food, consumers are increasing what they spend on plant-based food. Sales of plant-based food are growing at steady 10% to 15% annually in the US, EU, and UK, and are just a fraction of total food sales. 18 In the EU and UK, just 2.5% of dairy sales and just 0.7% of meat sales is plant-based. 19 This compares to 0.6% of all US retail food sales being plant-based.²⁰ Plant-based food companies have a large opportunity in front of them, even if only a minority of spending shifts to plant-based products.

Sales of meat and dairy alternatives have doubled over the last decade



Source: Euromonitor. Date: 2020.


¹⁸ ING, Good Food Institute, SPINS and IRI. Date: 2020

¹⁹ Euromonitor. Date: 2019.

²⁰ United States Census, The Good Food Institute, SPINS and IRI. Date: 2019.

Plant-based dairy is the largest category but growing more slowly than other categories


In the US, EU and UK, sales of dairy alternatives are more than double those of meat alternatives. Drinks are the most established category within dairy alternatives. Historically, milk based on soy, oats, nuts and rice has been the largest category within plant-based dairy thanks to the relative simplicity of making the product. Alternatives for ice cream, creamer, yoghurt and cheese are harder to produce and are less common, but these categories are gaining traction as the market matures. In 2019, plant-based milk sales grew 5% in the US compared to 6% for ice cream, 34% for cream, 31% for yoghurt, 8% for butter and 18% for cheese.²¹



Source: The Good Food Institute, SPINS and IRI. Date: 2020.

Taste is the number one reason consumers cite a brand as their favorite

Offering something that tastes good is crucial for plant-based food companies to succeed. In the plant-based burger category taste is the number one reason why consumers consider a specific brand their favorite, according to a consumer survey conducted by the UBS Evidence Lab. Although plant-based alternatives have improved over time, an unsatisfying taste remains an issue for consumers who are used to meat or dairy. Data from approximately 10,000 Dutch consumers indicate that a mere 24% of consumers are happy with how plant-based meat or dairy alternatives taste.²² Fortunately, new production technologies, improved formulation and a wider range of ingredients are leading to better tasting products.




Source: UBS Evidence Lab. Date: 2020.

²¹ The Good Food Institute, SPINS and IRI. Date: 2020.

²² ING Question of the Day. Date: 2020

New plant-based food brands are overtaking legacy brands


Second generation plant-based food brands are overtaking legacy brands according to Euromonitor data from the last ten years. In the US, new brands had 0% market share until 2013. Since 2010, legacy brands have steadily lost market share as newer companies' products were getting better and the brands become more well-known among consumers who could buy products in more retail outlets. In the meantime, younger brands have also expanded into foodservice channels. We expect competition to heat up in the next three years, investors could closely monitor the brand strength of the plant-based food companies they invest in.



Source: Bernstein and Euromonitor. Date: 2020.

The trade-off between price, growth, and profitability

A quarter of consumers are willing to pay more for plant-based burgers relative to beef burgers.²³ That means three quarters of potential consumers are unlikely to regularly buy plant-based food because prices lie far above animal-based products now. Therefore, it is no surprise that Beyond Meat's goal is to reach price parity in some product categories such as burgers. For investors, significant price drops could result in lower profitability if costs do not come down proportionally. We expect economies of scale to partially alleviate pressure on profitability for plant-based food producers. Currently, Beyond Meat is selling products at 30% to 35% gross margins and is EBITDA break-even. We assign a high probability to a scenario where Beyond Meat's gross margin will remain flat and where EBITDA margin creeps up to 10% in line with other food processors.



Source: Walmart, Tesco, Rewe, Carrefour, Amazon, USDA and Lidl. Date: 2021.

²³ UBS Evidence Lab. Date: 2020.

Concerns around brand longevity

New plant-based food brands have relevance for consumers. But from an investment perspective, they have yet to convince us of their brand longevity. If these brands choose an imitation strategy, the endpoint is a product that tastes and feels exactly like the original. Most successful food and beverage brands have a distinct taste. If imitation is the goal, brand differentiation on taste is eliminated because everyone is working towards a similar taste.

Younger plant-based meat companies pride their innovation skills to produce products with similar taste and texture as animal meat, which they try to copy as closely as possible. Some oat milk companies use canola oil to get milk-like consistency as they want to imitate cow milk. Young plant-based food brands have a head start and their products might be better than others now, but if imitation is the goal, innovation is not a competitive advantage. Others will catch up.

Pure-play plant-based food product companies are facing increased competition from deep-pocketed food giants and grocery stores. In the next phase, food retailers will step up their game with private label products. In many countries grocery store chains already sell private label plant-based food.

In order for pure-play plant-based food companies to have brand longevity and not get eaten alive by food giants or private labels, they could focus their brand on something other than imitating animal-based food. One differentiation opportunity is to excel in positive environmental impact or in health benefits. When we asked Beyond Meat about this, management said that indeed, the next step is to make their product exceptionally healthy.

In the coming years the plant-based food and beverage category is expected to grow massively. We probably do not have to worry about the strength of younger brands yet. A rising tide lifts all ships, but for the long-term we do think brand longevity is a challenge plant-based brands face.

Investment implications

Currently, there are only a limited number of listed pure play plant-based food companies. These companies have a stick to an imitation strategy. Several plant-based meat companies are partnering with larger consumer packaged food companies, giving them access to massive global distribution networks. New plant-based food brands are going toe-totoe with each other and more of these new brands are expected to list in the coming year.


We are excited about the upcoming IPO's in the dairy segment of the market as they operate in the largest category in category with sales rising 30% to 50% annually, implying market share is growing.

Meal kit companies are reinventing the grocery supply chain

We believe meal kit companies have an appealing value proposition for consumers: they provide convenience, allow for meal customization, and come at a relatively attractive price point. Meal kit companies play into the increasing desire for convenience by delivering boxes with mostly fresh, specific ingredients on a weekly basis to customers' homes. Customer pick a plan depending on their dietary preferences, schedule, and household size. Most meal kit companies let customers customize by choosing from between 20 to 30 recipes that change on a weekly basis. Although many meal kit companies claim they undercut grocery store prices by 20% to 75%, we find that prices per meal are similar to grocery stores. Value for money does not come from undercutting the grocery store price but from receiving more value in terms of convenience – less time spent in stores and on meal planning.

Meal kit companies are positioned for convenience and affordability

The position of meal kits within the dinner-at-home segment is unique. Restaurant food delivery is more convenient because no meal preparation is necessary but comes at a substantially higher price. Grocery delivery and grocery stores provide less convenience because customers must search for and decide on a recipe, portion the ingredients and in the case of a physical store, spend time travelling and gathering ingredients. There is a place for all five of these models, but we believe meal kit companies will benefit from their unique position of high convenience and affordability.




Source: HelloFresh. Date: 2020

"Pull model" supply chain is efficient and reduces food waste

The meal kit supply chain is efficient and eliminates almost all food waste by being short and simple. Ingredients for meal kits are delivered to refrigerated fulfilment centers by food producers such as farmers. At the fulfilment centers, ingredients are processed and packaged into meal kits. From there, meal kits are delivered using insulated packaging or in refrigerated vehicles to customers, which allows meal kit companies to deliver ingredients with a high level of freshness.


Purchasing and supply chain managers at retail and grocery stores can only dream of the day they know what meal kit companies know: what, how much and when are customers buying? A meal kit company's business model differs from a food retailer or grocery store because it rethinks the traditional food supply chain model. By starting with the customer and working upstream with a "pull-model", meal kit companies largely eliminate the need for intermediaries such as distributors or wholesalers. Companies like HelloFresh have a close relationship with their supplier network, enabling them to purchase ingredients for meal kits on a just-in-time basis and in the quantities required. Meal kit companies operate on a very low inventory basis for all perishable products, as they only order from suppliers what they have confirmed they will sell to customers. This approach greatly increases efficiency and reduces food waste.



Source: HelloFresh. Date: 2020.

Meal kit companies capture large part of value chain leading to superior margins

Vertical integration combined with a high share of own brand allows meal kit companies to capture a substantial profit pool. In fact, more than 90% of some meal kit company's products are own brand, largely capturing the brand margin that traditional retailers miss out on. In addition to brand margin, some meal kit companies are also able to capture the wholesale and retail margin by fulfilling those functions in the value chain itself. This leads to superior margins compared to traditional grocery retail companies. Some meal kit companies have twice the operating margin grocery retailers achieve. The superior profitability of meal kit companies over other food and grocery delivery could make it an attractive area for investment.



Source: HelloFresh. Date: 2020.

Growth continues in a massive food market

Meal kit companies operate in a huge market, and address both in-the-home and parts of the out-of-home food markets because customers can cook high-quality fresh meals at home with relatively low effort. Not all meal kit companies are created equal, however: incumbents for instance have been facing stiff competition from more efficient new entrants and have in fact suffered from decreasing revenues in the past two years. Meanwhile, newer entrants have grown approximately 40% to 50% year on year in the last five years, with a significant acceleration in the Covid-19 pandemic to +100% year on year. At last year revenues, Citi estimates the largest meal kit company in the US captures close to 3.5% of its total addressable market, which implies there is a long runway of growth if it executes well.



Source: Morgan Stanley, HelloFresh. Date: 2020.




Ingredients: enablers of healthy and sustainable food

When consumers buy their food or beverages, they might not realize the foods' taste, texture, scent, and color is partly and sometimes entirely developed by ingredient companies. Ingredient companies also develop parts of our food we cannot see, such as cultures and microorganisms, that give our food a longer shelf life or better nutritional value. For example, some companies produce flavors and nutritional ingredients for snacks and sweets but also for fish and meat. Other companies focus on dairy ingredients, one company's products are consumed by more than two billion people daily.

Ingredient companies could be an interesting area for investment because they experience structurally higher volume growth relative to general food companies. Over the past decade, ingredient companies' volume growth has averaged 3.5% compared to 0.5% for food companies. Ingredients companies find themselves in a sweet spot of the food supply chain. They have a highly fragmented customer base with big global brands, smaller global brands, regional and local players as their customers. No single customer represents a high proportion of revenue. At the same time, competitive pressures are lower relative to other food businesses because ingredient companies often compete in an oligopoly market. Barriers to entry such as scale, increasing product complexity and regulations further lower competitive pressures.

Volume growth in ingredients is structurally higher than food



Source: Jefferies. Date: 2020.

Ingredient companies are at the forefront of innovation in the food industry. Their research and development is of high added value because it improves consumers' user experience and influences purchase decisions. Ingredients are a high value product but have a low share of cost in the end-product. This means ingredients companies can charge decent prices for their products. Niche specialty ingredient companies can boast a 70% gross margin while others sell their products at 40% to 50% gross margins. This compares to 30% to 40% gross margins for most food processing companies. Food giants sell their products at 50% to 55% gross margins because they have brand pricing power.

Solving environmental and health challenges through ingredients

Ingredient companies offer solutions to numerous environment and health challenges. Some produce feed supplements that increase the feed conversion ratio and another that reduces greenhouse gas emissions in beef farming. Other companies produce fragrances, fats, flavors and cultures for plant-based food. Some not pure-play ingredient companies produce Omega-3 algae for fish feed.

Another key process that lowers environmental impact is the transfer from synthetic ingredients to natural-based ingredients. The word "natural" is an evolving term. Recently, some ingredient companies have invested in bioscience as part of their strategy to offer natural ingredients.

Growing awareness of and demand for natural ingredients and flavors

Consumer surveys continue to show that consumers are increasingly paying attention to product labels, with a study cited by Frost & Sullivan in the US claiming that 75% of consumers read the labels, with >60% who say they are willing to pay a premium for 'clean-label' products. Industry research by Jefferies estimates the average prices for natural flavors are roughly 20%-30% higher than for artificial flavors. We favor companies that offer natural flavors because clean-label food is growing much faster than the wider overall food market.

Clean label claims in food and beverage are growing globally 40% 120 35% 30% **3illion dollars** 80 25% 20% 15% 40 10% Wo alificial Intarni No alificial additives 5% ■ Global market size 2015-2023 CAGR

Source: Euromonitor. Date: 2020.

Investment implications

Ingredients companies have a proven business model with strong competitive positions, good returns on capital and an attractive margin profile. Companies with natural ingredients product lines have a profitable avenue of growth. We favor companies that predominantly sell specialized and complex ingredients over companies with large bulk ingredient divisions. Some companies are well positioned in natural ingredients but suffers from sizeable exposure to dairy markets, where volumes are static or declining. At the same time, other companies have exposure to both meat and dairy but do make inroads in healthy categories. Even though these companies are not focused 100% on healthy and sustainable food, we think they are attractive as enablers that are instrumental for change in the food industry.

Food giants vs. smaller brands

Food giants are being overtaken by smaller brands and private label. Large consumer packaged goods (CPG) companies like have built powerful brand empires over the last century giving them a strong competitive moat. But they are struggling to find growth because end-markets are slowing down. They also face increasing competition from smaller emerging brands. In recent years, leading brands in each CPG category have generated only 25% of growth, despite being 50% of sales. From 2000 to 2009, economic profit in the CPG industry grew 10.4% per year; from 2010 to 2019 it dropped to 3.2% per year, according to McKinsey.

Sales 2016 Sales growth 2016-2020 % of total 100 100 USD USD CAGR billion billion Total CPG 593 61.6 Packaged food 357 33.7 48 55 7.4 Beverages 3.2 ■ Leading brands ■ Small/medium brands ■ Private labels ■ Leading brands ■ Small/medium brands ■ Private labels

Figure 2 | Emerging brands and private label are outgrowing leading brand in the US

Source: McKinsey, Nielsen. Date: 2020.

McKinsey identified five trends disrupting the large CPG companies, one of which is conscious eating. About half of Western consumers are prioritizing conscious eating and living, preferring purpose-driven brands that help them meet personal goals like reducing meat consumption, according to McKinsey research. Even in relatively unhealthy food categories consumer preferences are changing. For example, in the US, snacks with health and wellness claims are overtaking the total snack market threefold. Snacks are small, but the snacking market is not: it is a USD 605 billion food category and represents 26% of global packaged food sales.

Table 2 | Sales of snacks with health and wellness claims overtaking traditional snacks in the US

Health and wellness claim	Snacks absolute sales growth 2014- 2018	Snacks sales CAGR 2014-2018
No artificial sweeteners	58.5%	12.2%
GMO free	49.7%	10.6%
Organic	49.2%	10.5%
Gluten free	43.8%	9.5%
Natural	27.8%	6.3%
No artificial colors/flavors	24.1%	5.5%
High fructose corn syrup free	22.7%	5.2%
No artificial preservatives	10.4%	2.5%
Total of all claims above	30.4%	6.9%
Total snacks	8.2%	2.0%

Source: Citi and Nielsen. Date: 2020.

Some of the largest global snack companies, have also noticed that "better-for-you" and "better-for-the-planet" snacks are growing quickly. In January 2021, Beyond Meat, the plant-based burger company, and PepsiCo formed a jointventure to make plant-based snacks and beverages together. In addition to this partnership, PepsiCo has acquired Bare Snacks and BFY Brands (Better-For-You) to increase its presence in these faster growing snack categories.

Figure 3 | PepsiCo is acquiring or partnering with healthy and sustainable brands to capture consumer trends



Source: PepsiCo and Beyond Meat news releases. Date: 2021.

Investment implications

The large incumbents are investing in healthier and more sustainable foods, because these categories are growing faster than their core categories. Statistics on what percentage of their sales come from healthy products differ. According to the Global Access to Nutrition Index from 2018 nearly all food giants derive most of their sales from

Innovative software and kitchen equipment improves restaurants efficiency and profitability



Restaurant technology

Software and automation increase restaurant efficiency and lower friction

Digitalization is coming to all sectors and restaurants are no exception. Restaurant software companies have a sizeable number of restaurants as their customers and are growing rapidly. Some companies initially provided point-of-sale hardware and software enabling restaurants to offer a smooth customer experience and carry out data analytics and menu management. Their solutions are cloud-based and allow for integration with an array of third-party applications. Restaurant software allows restaurants to increase their revenue substantially and run their operations more efficiently, resulting in greater profitability in a traditionally low margin industry. These restaurant software companies are currently broadening its offering to payroll, e-mail marketing, online ordering, delivery services and loyalty programs. Their business model is monthly subscription revenues from restaurants, and they charge processing fees per transactions, meaning predictable revenue streams.

Other restaurant software companies provide a cloud-based, on-demand commerce platform for restaurant brands. They enable digital ordering and delivery for restaurant brands by providing a dedicated app. This allows consumers to order directly through the restaurants app and circumvents food platforms such as UBER Eats, Doordash and Grubhub. Like These companies also allows for integration with third-party solutions. Also, in this case, revenue models are based on subscriptions and transaction fees. This charging structure has the advantage for customers in that it aligns their interests: if customer sales volumes go up, restaurant software company revenues go up.

Revenues and profits are growing rapidly in restaurant software



Source: Olo company fillings. Date: 2021.

Investment implications


The key value proposition of restaurant software is that it allows restaurants to increase revenues by decreasing friction and simultaneously increase profitability by making operations more efficient or through better capacity utilization. Therefore, we expect more and more restaurants to sign up and for these services to continue their growth. These


10 million professional kitchens worldwide

The world has approximately 10 million professional kitchens²⁴ ranging from restaurant and hotels to large-scale catering operations such as company canteens, hospitals, schools, universities, military facilities, retirement homes, quick service restaurants, caterers, supermarkets, bakeries, snack outlets, butchers' shops and service stations. Equipment for those kitchens is supplied and often serviced by professional kitchen equipment companies.

Major opportunities for professional kitchen equipment suppliers are growth of expenditure on eating out, replacement of traditional equipment, and emergence of ghost kitchens²⁵ servicing food delivery. In recent years, expenditure on eating out has risen 4% to 5% annually. ²⁶ Although recently interrupted by the pandemic we believe consumers will continue to increase spending on eating out in the future. Industry participants estimate 75% of kitchens still use traditional appliances instead of state-of-the art steamers and 97% of kitchens do not use multifunctional cooking appliances. With the rise of food delivery, ghost kitchens or delivery-only, restaurants can be built in three to four weeks and can be located in lower-cost real estate, boosting the traditionally thin margins of restaurants. Euromonitor estimates there are in excess of 700 ghost kitchens in the UK, but this is dwarfed by the estimated 7,500+ units in China. Cooks in these ghost kitchens can't churn out hundreds of meals with simple pots and pans: they need professional cooking equipment presenting an opportunity for professional kitchen equipment companies.

Just 25% of profesional kitchens use modern steamers...





Source: Rational AG. Date: 2020.

Innovation in kitchen equipment: bundling and connectivity

Modern-day kitchen equipment is experiencing evolutionary innovations: new technologies are being applied to existing equipment. For example, some companies are pioneering in building equipment that can perform multiple cooking processes such as grilling, steaming, baking, roasting, poaching and much more. This leads to savings in labor, space and energy. Because it combines many cooking processes, these companies build just two machines that cover 90% of cooking functions. Some companies are also investing in connectivity. In fact, some even provide cloud-based connectivity for professional kitchens to manage their assets, hygiene and recipes; 120,000 professional chefs already use it. Other kitchen equipment companies mainly invest in innovations by acquiring smaller companies specialized in fields such as the Internet of Things and kitchen automation. Also, some companies enable customers to control appliances from any device to monitor downtime and operational performance.

²⁴ Rational AG. Date: 2020.


²⁵ Ghost kitchens are a professional food preparation and cooking facility set up for the preparation of delivery-only meals. However, a ghost kitchen differs from a virtual restaurant in that a ghost kitchen is not necessarily a restaurant brand in itself and may contain kitchen space and facilities for more than one restaurant brand.

²⁶ McKinsey. Date: 2020.

The attractive part of the business: service contracts

Selling kitchen appliances is a niche in the appliances space with a particularly attractive feature: service contracts. Much like elevators and escalators, some kitchen appliances need servicing such as maintenance, periodic check-ups, and upgrades. In particular, appliances that are mission-critical to professional chefs such as stoves, ovens and steamers come with a service contract. Downtime of such equipment is unacceptable for professionals because it leads to hungry and unhappy customers. Service contracts are generally high margin and very sticky, leading to greater profitability and revenue predictability. Professional kitchen equipment companies have operating margins of 15% to 25%, which is much higher than consumer appliance companies who generally operate at 4% to 5% operating margins.

Professional kitchen equipment companies mainly sells parts, replacements and upgrades to its large installed base



Source: Middleby Corporation. Date: 2020.

Seafood is one of the most efficient animal meat products

In terms of environmental impact, fish is one of the most efficient animal meat products.²⁷

As can be seen in the table below, every 100 kilograms of feed yields 56 kilograms of edible fish meat, compared to 7 kilograms of beef meat. In addition, only 7.9 kilograms of CO² are produced for every kilogram of edible fish meat, compared to 39 kilograms of CO² for a kilogram of beef meat. Consumers reducing their environmental footprint could switch from pork and beef to fish and poultry as the latter two have higher resource efficiency resulting in lower environmental impact.










Protein retention

China is a large and growing market for seafood



Source: FAO. Date: 2020

Investment implication

Increasing seafood consumption in China presents an opportunity for listed Atlantic salmon. China's per capita consumption of salmon is currently only 60 grams per year, while Europe's is about 2 kg, which means that the market for salmon in China is far from saturation and still has great development potential. Increasingly wealthy consumers, as Chinese consumers might switch from locally produced seafood to premium products like Atlantic salmon.

import numbers into perspective, listed salmon producers combined trailing revenue is USD 8,881 million.

³⁰ UN FAO. (2020). Globefish Trade Statistics. Date: 2020.

³¹ MOWI. Annual Report. Date: 2019.


³² China Research & Intelligence. Research report of the Imported Salmon Industry in China 2020-2024. Date: 2020.

Cultured meat is not commercially viable yet

Continuing innovation could make cultured meat commercially viable in five years' time

In the early 2010s, the Dutch government sponsored a USD 2 million project to cultivate pork meat from stem cells. Helped with an extra USD 250,000 from Google co-founder Sergey Brin, this research eventually led to cultured meat's highest-profile moment so far, when vascular biologist Mark Post from Maastricht University unveiled the world's first cultured burger in 2013. Cultured meat, also known as lab-grown meat, is made of tissue which is biologically the same as meat tissue but is produced by in-vitro cell culture of animal cells instead of from slaughtered animals.

Singapore has recently given the world's first approval for cultured chicken nuggets. US-based Just, valued at USD 1.2 billion, developed the chicken nuggets and sells three of them for USD 23, probably highly subsidized³³. According to Bloomberg it costs USD 400 to USD 2,000 a kilogram to make cultured meat this compares to USD 1.03 a kilogram for poultry produced in the European Union. The original burger from Markt Post's Mosa Meat cost USD 280,000 a piece to make, but more recently has found a way to bring costs down. By 2021, Mosa Meat says it hopes to sell its lab-grown burger at around USD 10. High production costs are one of the biggest barriers' lab-grown meat companies face.



Source: Robeco, National Human Genome Research Institute, Memphis Meat, Just, Future Meat Technologies, Ron Shigeta, Mosa Meat. Date: 2021.

³³ CNBC. This restaurant will be the first ever to serve lab-grown chicken (for \$23). Date 2020.

According to analysis by the Good Food Institute, growth media currently make up the bulk of total production costs for cultivated meat, and proteins know as growth factors are the most expensive ingredient. Assuming researchers can optimize the growth medium, they still face several scientific challenges around tissue engineering to make cultured meat with a different texture than burgers, such as fillets and hams. With many scientific issues to resolve, cultured meat remains at the experimental stage. For commercial viability, the industry will need to find ways to produce tissue on a much larger scale. If cultured meat innovation continues at the current pace, it is not unthinkable that it will become part of our diet in about five years' time.

Extremely low environmental impact of cultured meat is promising

One estimate by consulting firm Kearney from Chicago suggests that 35% of all meat consumed globally by 2040 will be cultured – a change that is projected to reduce greenhouse-gas emissions, water use and land usage. Mosa Meat, Mark Post's company, estimates cultured meat uses up to 99% less land, 96% less water and 96% lower GHG emissions. His company could be biased or looking at environmental impact in a rather limited fashion, however, because Mattick estimates cultured meat production to be 1.4x more energy intensive than beef, 4x more than poultry and 7x more than pork.³⁴ Whoever is right, energy efficiency in the production process determines cultured meat's environmental impact. As energy efficiency is closely related to cost, we expect cultured meat companies to innovate in this area. As investors, we eagerly await the technological progress.

Investment implications

At this point in time, all pure-play cultured meat companies are private. Just and Memphis Meat are in the lead and have both raised USD 200-250 million from investors such as Collaborative Fund, Bill Gates, Threshold Ventures and the inventor of the first lab-grown burger, which has raised a total of USD 95 million. With a cost of USD 7.50 per serving, Future Meat Technologies claims to be in the lead when it comes to cost-efficient production. The Israeli meat is a commercially viable food category.

³⁴ Mattick, C. S., Landis, A. E. and Allenby, B. R., 2015, "A case for systemic environmental analysis of cultured meat", Journal of Integrative Agriculture.



Important Information

Important Information
Roboco Institutional Asset Management B.V. has a license as manager of Undertakings for Collective Investment in Transferable Securities (UCITS) and Alternative Investment Funds (AIFs) ("Fund(s)") from The Netherlands Authority for the Financial Markets in Amsterdam. This marketing document is solely intended for professional investors, defined as investors qualifying as professional clients, have requested to be treated as professional clients or are authorized to receive such information under any applicable laws. Robeco Institutional Asset Management B.V and/or its related, affiliated and subsidiary companies, ("Robeco"), will not be liable for any damages anising out of the use of this document. Users whether they are allowed to receive the information in accordance with MiFID II regulations. To the extent this information in accordance with MiFID II, users that provide investment services in the European Union are responsible to comply with applicable recordkeeping and disclosure requirements. The content of this document is based upon sources of information believed to be reliable and comes without warranties of any kind. Without further explanation this document cannot be considered complete. Any opinions, estimates or forecasts may be changed at any time without prior warning. If in doubt, please seek independent advice. It is intended to provide the professional investor with general information on Robeco's specific capabilities, but has not been prepared by Robeco as investment research and does not constitute an investment recommendation or advice to buy or sell certain securities or investment products and/or to adopt any investment strategy and/or legal, accounting or tax prepared by Robeco as investment research and does not constitute an investment recommendation or advice to buy or sell certain securities or investment products and/or to adopt any investment strategy and/or legal, accounting or the advice to buy or sell certain securities or investment products and/or to adopt any investment strategy and/or legal, accounting or the advice to buy or sell certain securities or investment products and/or to adopt any investment strategy and/or legal, accounting or the advice to buy or sell certain securities or investment products and/or to adopt any investment objective and risk tolerance level. Historical returns are provided for illustrative purposes only. The price of units may go down as well as up and the past performance is not indicative for future performance. If the currency in which the past performance level. Historical returns are provided for illustrative purposes only. The price of units may go down as exchange rate fluctuations the performance shown may increase or decrease if converted into your local currency. The performance data do not take account of the commissions and costs incurred on trading securities in client portfolios or on the issue and redemption of units. Unless otherwise stated, the prices used for the performance fegures of the Luxembourg-based funds are the end-of-month transaction prices net of fees up to 4 August 2010. From 4 August 2010. From 4 August 2010 are the transaction prices net of fees up to 4 August 2010. From 4 August 2010 are the transaction prices net of fees up to 4 August 2010. From 4 August 2010 are the transaction prices net be not of fees unit be those of the first business day of the month. Return figures of the Luxembourg-based funds are the end-of-month transaction prices net of fees any person or entity who is a citizen or resident of or located in any locality, state, country or other jurisdiction where such distribution, document, availability or use would be contrary to law or regulation or which would subject any Fund or Robeco Institutional Asset Management B.V. to any registration or licensing requirement within such jurisdiction. Any decision to subscribe for interests in a Fund offered in a particular jurisdiction must be made solely on the basis of information contained in the prospectus, which information may be different from the information contained in this document. Prospective applicants for shares should inform themselves as to legal requirements also applying and any applicable exchange control regulations and applicable taxes in the countries of their respective citizenship, residence or domicile. The Fund information, if any, contained in this document is qualified in its entirety by reference to the prospectus, and this document should, at all times, be read in conjunction with the prospectus. Detailed information on the Fund and associated risks is contained in the prospectus. The prospectus and the Key Investor Information Document for the Robeco Funds can all be obtained free of charge at www.robeco.com.

Additional Information for US investors

Adultionial miorination for Us investors
Robeco is considered "participating affiliated" and some of their employees are "associated persons" of Robeco Institutional Asset Management US Inc. ("RIAM US") as per relevant SEC no-action guidance. Employees identified as associated persons of RIAM US perform activities directly or indirectly related to the investment advisory services provided by RIAM US. In those situation these individuals are deemed to be acting on behalf of RIAM US, a US SEC registered investment advisor. SEC regulations are applicable only to clients, prospects and investors of RIAM US. RIAM US is wholly owned subsidiary of ORIX Corporation Europe N.V. and offers investment advisory services to institutional clients in the US.

Additional Information for investors with residence or seat in Australia and New Zealand

Additional information for investors with residence or seat in Australia and New Zealand
This document is distributed in Australia by Roboco Hong Kong Limited (ARBM 156 512 659) ("Robeco"), which is exempt from the requirement to hold an Australian financial services license under the Corporations Act 2001 (Cth) pursuant to ASIC Class Order 03/1103. Robeco is regulated by the Securities and Futures Commission under the laws of Hong Kong and those laws may differ from Australian laws. This document is distributed only to "wholesale clients" as that term is defined under the Corporations Act 2001 (Cth). This document is not for distribution or dissemination, directly or indirectly, to any other class of persons. In New Zealand, this document is only available to wholesale investors within the meaning of clause 3(2) of the Financial Markets Conduct Act 2013 ("FMCA"). This document is not for public distribution in Australia and New Zealand.

Additional Information for investors with residence or seat in Austria

Additional minimation for investors with residence or seat in Austria.

This information is solely intended for professional investors or eligible counterparties in the meaning of the Austrian Securities Oversight Act.

Additional Information for investors with residence or seat in Brazil.

The Fund may not be offered or sold to the public in Brazil. Accordingly, the Fund has not been nor will be registered with the Brazilian Securities Commission — CVM, nor has it been submitted to the foregoing agency for approval. Documents relating to the Fund, as well as the information contained therein, may not be supplied to the public in Brazil, as the offering of the Fund is not a public offering of securities in Brazil, nor may they be used in connection with any offer for subscription or sale of securities to the public in Brazil.

Additional Information for investors with residence or seat in Canada

Additional information or investors with residence of seal in Callado
No securities commission or similar authority in Canada has reviewed or in any way passed upon this document or the merits of the securities described herein, and any representation to the contrary is an offence. Robeco Institutional Asset
Management B.V. is relying on the international dealer and international adviser exemption in Quebec and has appointed McCarthy Tétrault LLP as its agent for service in Quebec.

Additional information for investors with residence or seat in the Republic of Chile

Neither Robecco nor the Robeccofunds have been registered with the Comisión para el Mercado Financiero pursuant to law no. 18.045, the Ley de Mercado de Valores and regulations thereunder. This document does not constitute an offer of, or an invitation to subscribe for or purchase, shares of the Funds in the Republic of Chile, other than to the specific person who individually requested this information on his own initiative. This may therefore be treated as a "private offering" within the meaning of article 4 of the Ley de Mercado de Valores (an offer that is not addressed to the public at large or to a certain sector or specific group of the public).

Additional Information for investors with residence or seat in Colombia.

This document does not constitute a public offer in the Republic of Colombia. The offer of the Fund is addressed to less than one hundred specifically identified investors. The Fund may not be promoted or marketed in Colombia or to Colombia residents, unless such promotion and marketing is made in compliance with Decree 2555 of 2010 and other applicable rules and regulations related to the promotion of foreign Funds in Colombia. Additional Information for investors with residence or seat in the Dubai International Financial Centre (DIFC), United Arab Emirates

This material is being distributed by Robeco Institutional Asset Management B.V. (DIFC Branch) located at Office 209, Level 2, Cate Village Building 7, Dubai International Financial Centre, Dubai, P.O. Box 482060, UAE. Robeco Institutional

Asset Management B.V. (DIFC Branch) is regulated by the Dubai Financial Services Authority ("DFSA") and only deals with Professional Clients or Market Counterparties and does not deal with Retail Clients as defined by the DFSA.

Additional Information for investors with residence or seat in France

Robeco Institutional Asset Management B.V. is at liberty to provide services in France. Robeco France is a subsidiary of Robeco whose business is based on the promotion and distribution of the group's funds to professional investors in

Additional Information for investors with residence or seat in Germany

This information is solely intended for professional investors or eligible counterparties in the meaning of the German Securities Trading Act.

Additional Information for investors with residence or seat in Hong Kong
The contents of this document have not been reviewed by the Securities and Futures Commission ("SFC") in Hong Kong. If you are in any doubt about any of the contents of this document, you should obtain independent professional advice. This document has been distributed by Robeco Hong Kong Limited ("Robeco"). Robeco is regulated by the SFC in Hong Kong.

Additional Information for investors with residence or seat in Italy

This document is been distributed by Robeco Hong Kong Lumined (Robeco J. Robeco Is regulated by the SFL in Hong Kong.

Additional Information for investors with residence or seat in Italy

This document is considered for use solely by qualified investors and private professional clients (as defined in Article 26 (1) (b) and (d) of Consob Regulation No. 16190 dated 29 October 2007). If made available to Distributors and individuals authorized by Distributors to conduct promotion and marketing activity, it may only be used for the purpose for which it was conceived. The data and information contained in this document may not be used for communications with Supervisory Authorities. This document does not include any information to determine, in concrete terms, the investment inclination and, therefore, this document cannot and should not be the basis for making any investment decisions.

Additional Information for investors with residence or seat in Japan

Additional Information for Investors with residence of seat in Japan
This documents are considered for use solely by qualified investors and are being distributed by Robeco Japan Company Limited, registered in Japan as a Financial Instruments Business Operator, [registered No. the Director of Kanto Local Financial Bureau (Financial Instruments Business Operator), No, 2780, Member of Japan Investment Advisors Association]. Additional Information for investors with residence or seat in Mexico

The funds have not been and will not be registered with the National Registry of Securities, maintained by the Mexican National Banking and Securities Commission and, as a result, may not be offered or sold publicly in Mexico. Robeco and any underwriter or purchaser may offer and sell the funds in Mexico on a private placement basis to Institutional and Accredited Investors, pursuant to Article 8 of the Mexican Securities Market Law.

Additional Information for investors with residence or seat in Peru The Fund has not been registered with the Superintendencia del Mercado de Valores (SMV) and is being placed by means of a private offer. SMV has not reviewed the information provided to the investor. This document is only for the exclusive use of institutional investors in Peru and is not for public distribution.

Additional Information for investors with residence or seat in Shanghai This material is prepared by Robeco Overseas Investment Fund Management (Shanghai) Limited Company ("Robeco Shanghai") and is only provided to the specific objects under the premise of confidentiality. Robeco Shanghai was registered as a private fund manager with the Asset Management Association of China in September 2018. Robeco Shanghai is a wholly foreign-owned enterprise established in accordance with the PRC laws, which enjoys independent civil rights and civil obligations. The statements of the shareholders or affiliates in the material shall not be deemed to a promise or guarantee of the shareholders or affiliates of Robeco Shanghai, or be deemed to any obligations or liabilities

imposed to the shareholders or affiliates of Robeco Shanghai. Additional Information for investors with residence or seat in Singapore

Additional miorimation for investors with restores with restore the Section 304 of the SFA, (ii) to a relevant person pursuant to Section 305(1), or any person pursuant to Section 305(2), and in accordance with the Conditions of, any other applicable provision of the SFA, or (iii) otherwise pursuant to, and in accordance with the conditions of, any other applicable provision of the SFA. The contents of this document have not been reviewed by the MAS. Any decision to participate in the Fund should be made only after reviewing the sections. regarding investment considerations, conflicts of interest, risk factors and the relevant Singapore selling restrictions (as described in the section entitled "important Information for Singapore Investors") contained in the prospectus. You should consult your professional adviser if you are in doubt about the stringent restrictions applicable to the use of this document, regulatory status of the Fund, applicable regulatory protection, associated risks and suitability of the Fund to your objectives. Investors should note that only the sub-Funds listed in the appendix to the section entitled "important Information for Singapore Investors" of the prospectus ("Sub-Funds") are available to Singapore investors. The Subto your objectives, investors sincicul interest and consider carefully whether the investors in the appearance of the section entired in source in information in singapore investors. The sub-Funds are not fifted as restricted foreign schemes under the Securities and Futures Act, Chapter 289 of Singapore ("SFA") and are invoking the exemptions from compliance with prospectus registration requirements pursuant to the exemptions under Section 304 and Section 305 of the SFA. The Sub-Funds are not authorized or recognized by the MAS and shares in the Sub-Funds are not allowed to be offered to the retail public in Singapore. The prospectus of the Fund a prospectus as defined in the SFA. Accordingly, statutory liability under the SFA in relation to the content of prospectuses would not apply. The Sub-Funds may only be promoted exclusively to persons who are sufficiently experienced and sophisticated to understand the risks involved in investing in such schemes, and who satisfy certain other criteria provided under Section 304, Section 305 or any other applicable provision of the SFA and the subsidiary legislation enacted thereunder. You should consider carefully whether the investment is suitable for you. Robeco Singapore Private Limited holds a capital markets services license for fund management issued by the MAS and is subject to certain clientele restrictions under such license.

Additional Information for investors with residence or seat in Spain

Robeco Institutional Asset Management BV, Sucursal en España with identification number W0032687F and having its registered office in Madrid at Calle Serrano 47-14°, is registered with the Spanish Commercial Registry in Madrid, in volume 19.957, page 190, section 8, sheet M-351927 and with the National Securities Market Commission (CNMV) in the Official Register of branches of European investment services companies, under number 24. The investment funds or SICAV mentioned in this document are regulated by the corresponding authorities of their country of origin and are registered in the Special Registry of the CNMV of Foreign Collective Investment Institutions marketed in Spain.

Additional Information for investors with residence or seat in South Africa.

Robeco Institutional Asset Management B.V is registered and regulated by the Financial Sector Conduct Authority in South Africa

Additional Information for investors with residence or seat in Switzerland
The Fund(s) are domiciled in Luxembourg. This document is exclusively distributed in Switzerland to qualified investors as defined in the Swiss Collective Investment Schemes Act (CISA). This material is distributed by Robeco Switzerland Ltd, postal address: Josefstrasses 218, 8005 Zurich. ACOLIN Fund Services AG, postal address: Afoltemstrasses 56, 8050 Zurich, acts as the Swiss representative of the Fund(s). UBS Switzerland AG, Bahnhofstrasse 45, 8001 Zurich, postal address: Afoltemstrasses 2, P.O. Box, CH-8152 Opfision, acts as the Swiss paying agent. The prospectus, the Key Investor Information Documents (KIIDS), the articles of association, the annual and semi-annual reports of the Fund(s), as well as the list of the purchases and sales which the Fund(s) has undertaken during the financial year, may be obtained, on simple request and free of charge, at the office of the Swiss representative ACOLIN Fund Services AG. The prospectuses are also available via the website www.robeco.ch.

available via the website www.robeco.ch.

Additional Information relating to RobecoSAM-branded funds/services

Robeco Switzerland Ltd, postal address Josefstrasse 218, 8005 Zurich, Switzerland has a license as asset manager of collective assets from the Swiss Financial Market Supervisory Authority FINMA. RobecoSAM-branded financial instruments and investment strategies referring to such financial instruments are generally managed by Robeco Switzerland Ltd. The RobecoSAM brand is a registered trademark of Robeco Holding B.V. The brand RobecoSAM is used to market services and products which do entail Robeco's expertise on Sustainable Investing (SI). The brand RobecoSAM is not to be considered as a separate legal entity.

Additional Information for investors with residence or seat in Liechtenstein

This document is exclusively distributed to Liechtenstein-based duly licensed financial intermediaries (such as e.g. banks, discretionary portfolio managers, insurance companies, fund of funds, etc.) which do not intend to invest on their own account into Fund(s) displayed in the document. This material is distributed by Robeco Switzerland Ltd, postal address: Josefstrasse 218, 8005 Zurich, Switzerland. LGT Bank Ltd., Herrengasse 12, FL-9490 Vaduz, Liechtenstein acts as the representative and paying agent in Liechtenstein. The prospectus, the Key Investor Information Documents (KIIDs), the articles of association, the annual and semi-annual reports of the Fund(s) may be obtained from the representative or via the website www.robeco.ch.

Additional Information for investors with residence or seat in the United Arab Emirates

Additional Information for Investors with residence of seat in the United Arab Emirates

Some Funds referred to in this marketing material have been registered with the UAE Some funds can be found on the Authority's website. The Authority assumes no liability for the accuracy of the information set out in this material/document, nor for the failure of any persons engaged in the investment Fund in performing their duties and responsibilities.

Additional Information for investors with residence or seat in the United Kingdom

Robeco is subject to limited regulation in the UK by the Financial Conduct Authority. Details about the extent of our regulation by the Financial Conduct Authority are available from us on request.

Additional Information for investors with residence or seat in Uruguay
The sale of the Fund qualifies as a private placement pursuant to section 2 of Uruguayan law 18,627. The Fund must not be offered or sold to the public in Uruguay, except in circumstances which do not constitute a public offering or distribution under Uruguayan laws and regulations. The Fund is not and will not be registered with the Financial Services Superintendency of the Central Bank of Uruguaya. The Fund corresponds to investment funds that are not investment funds regulated by Uruguayan law 16,774 dated September 27, 1996, as amended.

© Q1/2021 Robeco