

Factor investing

How many factors are there? Or how to navigate the 'factor zoo'

- The literature has become a 'factor zoo' with hundreds of alleged factors
- We find evidence of dozens of distinct factors driving future stock returns
- We classify these into a handful of strategic composite factors

In the 1980s and 1990s, factors such as Size, Value and Momentum were shown to generate returns that could not be explained by the capital asset pricing model (CAPM). Since then, hundreds of other 'factors' have emerged in the literature, all of which allegedly offer a unique new source of return. This has given rise to a heated debate regarding the actual number of distinct factors and the number of findings that can be attributed to data mining.

Data mining (or data dredging, or p-hacking, or factor fishing) means that if brute force computing power is used to test hundreds, thousands or even millions of possible factors, many false positive results can be expected. In other words, simply due to chance many strategies, even completely random ones, will appear to be statistically significant. To illustrate, Arnott, Harvey, and Markowitz (2018) give the example of testing all combinations of the first three letters of a firm's ticker symbol. They find that a strategy which goes long stocks with S as the third letter and short stocks with U as the third letter shows a spectacular back-tested performance and passes all common tests of statistical significance with flying colors. This is a clear example of how an economically nonsensical strategy can still appear to be profitable from a statistical point of view. Data mining is arguably the biggest pitfall of empirical research in finance.

Several recent studies take a comprehensive look at the 'factor zoo' (a term introduced by Cochrane, 2011). McLean and Pontiff (2016) report results for the US that are consistent with over-fitting or factor decay, as the performance of 97 factors is on average 26% lower out-of-sample and 58% lower post-publication. However, Jacobs and Müller (2020) find that these results do not carry over

Article
For professional investors
March 2020

David Blitz, Head of quantitative research
Matthias Hanauer, Senior researcher



to international equity markets, as none of the other 39 investigated countries shows a noticeable decline in post-publication performance. Harvey, Liu, and Zhu (2016) propose to counter p-hacking by raising thresholds for statistical significance in empirical research, specifically by requiring t-statistics to be at least 3, instead of the conventional threshold of 2. Applying this higher threshold and using a methodology which prevents illiquid micro-caps from dominating results, Hou, Xue and Zhang (2019) find that most previously documented factors become insignificant.

Academics aim to bring order to the factor zoo by looking for the smallest number of factors with the highest explanatory power. The best-known model is the Fama and French (1993) model, which includes the market, size, and value factors. Fama and French (2015) extend this 3-factor model to a 5-factor model by adding the profitability and investment factors. Interestingly, the widely accepted momentum factor of Jegadeesh and Titman (1993) and Carhart (1997) is still left out of this 5-factor model. However, most researchers prefer to also include momentum, so that the 3-factor model becomes a 4-factor model and the 5-factor model a 6-factor model. The Fama-French model competes with, amongst others, the Hou, Xue, and Zhang (2015) 4-factor model (also known as the q-factor model), the Stambaugh and Yuan (2017) 4-factor model, the Barillas and Shanken (2018) 6-factor model, and the Daniel, Hirshleifer, and Sun (2019) 3-factor model.

Although the factors used in these models are different, there does seem to be a consensus among leading academics that the entire factor zoo can be tamed with parsimonious models consisting of just three to six factors. This would imply that a handful of factors capture all information in the factor zoo. Other academics, however, find more independent dimensions in stock returns. Green, Hand, and Zhang (2017) find that 12 out of 94 characteristics are reliably independent determinants of return among non-microcap stocks, and that 11 of the 12 independent characteristics lie outside prominent benchmark models. Taking an international perspective can also increase the number of required factors, as Jacobs and Müller (2018) find that the dominant variables for a global sample differ from the ones in models fitted for the US market.

If three to six factors is indeed all one needs, then a factor-based investment approach becomes fairly straightforward. In the investment management industry this view is advocated by Edhec's SciBeta division. Goltz and Luyten (2019) argue that investors should only consider the widely accepted Fama-French factors, and that everything else is basically data mining and not evidence-based. They illustrate this with some appealing examples showing that other index providers use all kinds of unconventional factor

definitions or come up with a different choice of factors and methodologies every time they launch a new index.

Based on our own research, we conclude that out of the hundreds of factors proposed in the literature many are indeed redundant, lack robustness, or cannot even be replicated to begin with. We do not find, however, that the entire factor zoo can be reduced to just a handful of factors. Although the small set of factors used in academic asset pricing models can serve as a very good starting point, that is not the end of the story. In our research, we find evidence of dozens of factors because of the following reasons:

- Some factors are wrongly rejected by the academic community.
- Multiple factors are often needed to capture one broader phenomenon.
- Factors based on non-standard data sources or with limited history should not be ignored.
- We expect a next generation of factors based on big data, machine learning and artificial intelligence.

Although we identify dozens of factors that are distinct drivers of future stock returns, we typically group these factors according to their data source and economic rationale, resulting in a handful of composite factors. For instance, the new Fama-French profitability and investment factors are independent phenomena, with a correlation that is close to zero, but they are commonly regarded as different dimensions of a more general quality factor. It is important to realize that any definition of composite factors is somewhat arbitrary, as the grouping can be more or less granular. An analogy can be drawn here with sector and industry classifications, which can be very broad (e.g. financials versus non-financials), or extremely detailed (there are over 150 GICS level 4 industries), or anything in between. For instance, the question whether analyst revisions and price momentum are separate factors, or different dimensions of one overarching sentiment or trend factor, is a similar kind of question as whether real estate investment trusts (REITs) should be seen as part of the Financials sector or as a separate one: there is no right or wrong here. In the remainder of this article, we describe our factor identification and classification process.

Factors which are wrongly rejected or ignored

Some of the key factors are omitted in academic asset pricing models, but wrongly so in our view. The most striking example is the low-risk factor, which challenges the fundamental notion of efficient markets that higher risk should be rewarded with higher returns. Despite abundant evidence that the empirical relation between risk and return is flat or even inverted, many academics dismiss the low-risk anomaly or believe that it is explained by other factors. In

Blitz, van Vliet, and Baltussen (2019) we review all this evidence and conclude that low-risk is a strong and distinct factor, that should not be ignored.

A second example is the classic short-term reversal factor. This factor is typically dismissed in academic research, because the profits are believed to disappear after accounting for market micro-structure issues (such as bid/ask effects) and transaction costs. In De Groot, Huij, and Zhou (2012), however, we show that the short-term reversal factor can be turned into a profitable strategy after costs when using a smart implementation approach. Moreover, we find that it can add value as a trade-timing indicator, so when the decision to trade is already made and trading costs are a sunk cost, but the best moment of trading still needs to be determined.

A third example is our residual momentum factor. Blitz, Huij, and Martens (2011) show that the residual momentum factor, which cleans the standard momentum factor for time-varying systematic risks, generates a much higher risk-adjusted performance. Blitz, Hanauer, and Vidojevic (2017) take a further look and find that residual (or idiosyncratic) momentum and traditional momentum are distinct phenomena which coexist next to each other. In other words, residual momentum is not explained by standard asset pricing models which include the standard momentum factor. This is empirically illustrated in Table 1 by regressing a Fama-French style residual momentum factor on the classic Fama-French factors, including standard momentum. The results show that standard momentum can only explain about half of the raw alpha of residual momentum. The unexplained alpha is still sizable and highly significant.

A fourth example is the accruals factor, which is another dimension of the quality factor. Although Fama and French (2008) still concluded that accruals is a separate factor, it is not included in the Fama and French (2015) 5-factor model, nor in competing academic models such as the Hou, Xue, and Zhang (2015) model. In Kyosev, Hanauer, Huij, and Lansdorp (2020), we find that the accruals factor exists next to other quality factors such as profitability and

investment, i.e. that there are at least three distinct dimensions to quality.

Multiple factors needed to capture one broader phenomenon

Another reason we get to more than a handful of factors is because we find that, oftentimes, multiple factors are needed to capture one broader phenomenon. A nice example is the value factor. Fama and French (1992, 1993) choose to measure value with the ratio of book value to market value. This choice appears to be motivated by convenience rather than a strong theoretical preference for that particular metric. Fama and French (1996) empirically justify their choice by showing that the performance of alternative value metrics, such as earnings-to-price or cashflow-to-price, is entirely subsumed by their book-to-market factor. Since then, however, we have seen a rather weak performance for book-to-market and a considerably stronger performance for these alternative metrics.

To illustrate this point, we measure how much of the performance of book-to-market (BtM) is already captured by earnings-to-price (EtP) and vice versa. The results for these 'spanning regressions' are shown in Table 2 (see p.4). Our analysis confirms that BtM is the superior value measure for the original sample period investigated in Fama and French (1996), as BtM fully subsumes EtP, while the opposite is not true. However, if Fama and French would have conducted their analysis over the post-1994 period (the out-of-sample period for their 1996 study), they would probably have come to a different conclusion. Since 1994, EtP fully subsumes BtM, while BtM does not explain EtP anymore.

The analysis above clearly illustrates that the best-performing measure changes from one sample period to another. The economic rationale of book value is also increasingly being questioned, given that book values seem to become less relevant and meaningful. Various blue-chip firms even have negative book values nowadays. At the same time, we cannot rule out a strong comeback

Table 1 | Spanning regression for residual momentum

	CAPM alpha	4-factor alpha	Market (Rm-Rf)	Size (SMB)	Value (HML)	Momentum (WML)
07/1929-12/2018						
ResMom	8.10% (10.07)	4.23% (6.83)	0.03 (2.52)	0.04 (2.21)	0.12 (7.52)	0.35 (28.97)

Source: The analysis is based on monthly total returns in U.S. dollars for the period July 1929 to December 2018. The residual momentum factor is self-constructed; all the other data comes from the Kenneth French online data library, http://mba.tuck.dartmouth.edu/pages/faculty/ken.french/data_library.html. T-statistics are reported between brackets.

Table 2 | Spanning regressions for value defined based on BtM or on EtP

	CAPM Alpha	3-factor alpha	Market (Rm-Rf)	Size (SMB)	Value (EtP)	Value (BtM)
07/1963-12/1993						
Value (BtM)	6.67% (4.36)	1.48% (1.97)	-0.03 (-1.78)	0.05 (2.48)	0.89 (34.46)	
Value (EtP)	5.61% (3.76)	0.01% (0.02)	-0.03 (-1.84)	-0.04 (-1.78)		0.86 (34.46)
01/1994-06/2019						
Value (BtM)	2.22% (1.07)	-1.18% (-1.08)	0.03 (1.25)	0.09 (3.01)	1.03 (28.34)	
Value (EtP)	3.29% (1.88)	1.75% (1.95)	-0.05 (-2.83)	-0.11 (-4.34)		0.71 (28.34)

Source: The analysis is based on monthly total returns in U.S. dollars for the period July 1963 to June 2019. All the data comes from the Kenneth French online data library, http://mba.tuck.dartmouth.edu/pages/faculty/ken.french/data_library.html. Market is the value-weight return on the market portfolio minus the one-month Treasury bill rate. The size factor (SMB, small minus big) and the two value factors (BtM, EtP) are constructed based on the 2x3 value-weighted sorts. The first panel shows the results for the original sample period of Fama and French (1996) while the second panel shows the results for the period afterwards. T-statistics are reported between brackets.

scenario for book-to-market. Therefore, instead of trying to pinpoint which one value factor is the best among all, we prefer to have a diversified mix of value factors which all show strong long-term results, and which provide effective diversification in the shorter run.

The same argument can be made for other factors. For instance, if we consider the low-risk factor, then popular metrics for risk are volatility and beta, measurement periods vary from less than one year to over five years, and commonly used data frequencies are daily, weekly, and monthly. Instead of selecting one specific low-risk factor, with the risk of data mining, we again prefer to use a diversified mix of low-risk factors measured in various ways.

Factors based on non-standard data sources or with limited history

Leading academics tend to show little interest in factors which require non-standard data sources, or which are only available with a limited data history. An example is our factor which looks at earnings estimate revisions by analysts. This factor has been a key pillar of our models for more than twenty years and has proven to be one of the most powerful alpha generators over this period. However, the academic community treats it with a lot of skepticism because of data reliability concerns. We find that these concerns can be addressed by keeping proper track of security identifier changes, e.g. in case of mergers or acquisitions. Other inconveniences with the analyst

revisions factor are that it has a shorter history than standard factors, with data starting only in the 1980s, and that it does not have coverage for all stocks, in particular very small stocks.

Signals from the credit market are another example of a non-standard source with limited history that gets little academic attention. However, we find that using the spread on credit default swaps as a forward-looking low-risk indicator for stocks and the momentum of the corporate bonds of a firm as a signal that augments stock momentum both add value. Another potentially fruitful source of alpha is short interest data, e.g. short interest levels and fees. However, this involves again a non-standard source with limited history.

Another example in this regard is our recently introduced index arbitrage factor. Smart beta factor indices tend to have high turnover at just a few rebalancing days of the year. We find that this results in significant price distortions on the specific stocks involved, in particular in emerging markets, where liquidity is relatively low. We also observe strong reversal effects in subsequent months, which we have been able to exploit with an innovative new alpha factor. The data history for this factor is even shorter than for the previous examples, because large-scale replication of smart beta factor indices is a relatively recent phenomenon. Nevertheless, the empirical results are strong and the economic rationale is compelling.

In sum, factors outside the comfort zone of the academic community are a particularly fruitful hunting ground for alpha. Non-standard factors can be considered as the icing on the factor cake.

The next generation of factors

The last category of factors worth mentioning is the next generation factors, such as those based on big data, machine learning and/or artificial intelligence. An example is our recently introduced news sentiment factor, which is based on the analysis of millions of news articles, that are converted into a quantitative sentiment signal using linguistic algorithms. Although news sentiment is correlated with other (price- and analyst-based) sentiment indicators, we find that it contains unique information above and beyond these more conventional factors.

Big data and ML/AI clearly take 'non-standard' factors to the next level, but also raise concerns about data mining, as these techniques have much more degrees of freedom for fitting the data. We expect abundant research activity in this area in the coming years, and we expect more new alpha factors to emerge from this.

Summary

In this note, we have explained our stance on the debate regarding the factor zoo. We share the concerns expressed in several recent academic papers that many of the hundreds of factors that have been proposed over the past decades either are redundant, lack robustness, or cannot even be replicated. We do not find, however, that the entire factor zoo can be reduced to just a handful of factors. Although the small set of factors used in academic asset pricing models can serve as a very good starting point, that is not the end of the story. In our research, we find evidence of dozens of factors. These include factors that are wrongly dismissed or rejected, multiple factors to capture one broader phenomenon, factors based on non-standard data sources or with limited history, and 'next generation' factors, based on big data, machine learning or artificial intelligence.

That said, for practical implementation purposes, it is common to categorize factors into a small number of strategic composite factors. The **low-risk** factor contains metrics such as volatility and beta, measured using different lookback periods and different data frequencies, but also distress risk indicators, such as distance-to-default and credit spreads. The **value** factor consists of all variables which measure price relative to fundamentals, such as book value, earnings and cash-flows. These ratios can be adjusted for e.g. distress risk or environmental footprint.

The **quality** factor is essentially a mixed bag of company fundamentals, such as profitability, earnings quality and investment patterns. We agree with the academic perspective that these actually appear to be separate, distinct factors, but follow the industry convention to combine them under a common 'quality' header.

For the **momentum** factor it is basically the other way around. Academics and smart beta index providers tend to see momentum as a single factor (price momentum), but in our research we find that it is better understood as an entire family of different sentiment-related factors, most notably **price momentum** and **analyst revisions**. Finally, there is another broad set of **short-term factors**. These are typically ignored by academics and index providers altogether, but we find them to be highly effective for trade timing purposes. This theme includes various reversal phenomena, signals based on short interest and signals derived from trading volume patterns.

In sum, starting from an academic zoo consisting of hundreds of alleged factors, we narrow it down to several dozens that really work, which we organize into a small number of composite factors.

References

- Arnott, Rob, Campbell R. Harvey, and Harry Markowitz. 2019. "A Backtesting Protocol in the Era of Machine Learning" *Journal of Financial Data Science*, vol. 1, no. 3 (Winter): 64-74.
- Barillas, Francisco, and Jay Shanken. 2018. "Comparing Asset Pricing Models." *Journal of Finance*, vol. 73, no. 2 (April): 715-754.
- Blitz, David, Joop Huij, and Martin Martens. 2011. "Residual Momentum." *Journal of Empirical Finance*, vol. 18, no. 3 (June): 506-521.
- Blitz, David, Matthias X. Hanauer, and Milan Vidojevic. 2017. "The Idiosyncratic Momentum Anomaly". SSRN working paper, no. 2947044.
- Blitz, David, Pim van Vliet, and Guido Baltussen. 2020. "The Volatility Effect Revisited." *Journal of Portfolio Management* vol. 46, no. 2 (January): 45-63.
- Carhart, Mark M. 1997. "On Persistence in Mutual Fund Performance." *Journal of Finance*, vol. 52, no. 1 (March): 57-82.
- Cochrane, John H. 2011. "Presidential Address: Discount Rates." *Journal of Finance*, vol. 66, no. 4 (August): 1047-1108.
- Daniel, Kent D., David Hirshleifer, and Lin Sun. 2019. "Short- and Long-Horizon Behavioral Factors." *Review of Financial Studies* (forthcoming).
- De Groot, Wilma, Joop Huij, and Weili Zhou. 2012. "Another look at trading costs and short-term reversal profits." *Journal of Banking and Finance*, vol. 36: 371-382.
- Fama, Eugene F., and Kenneth R. French. 1992. "The Cross-Section of Expected Stock Returns." *Journal of Finance*, vol. 47, no. 2 (April): 427-465.
- Fama, Eugene F., and Kenneth R. French. 1993. "Common Risk Factors in the Returns on Stocks and Bonds." *Journal of Financial Economics*, vol. 33, no. 1 (February): 3-56.
- Fama, Eugene F., and Kenneth R. French. 1996. "Multifactor Explanations of Asset Pricing Anomalies." *Journal of Finance*, vol. 51, no. 1 (March): 55-84.
- Fama, Eugene F., and Kenneth R. French. 2008. "Dissecting Anomalies." *Journal of Finance*, vol. 63, no. 4 (August): 1653-1678.
- Fama, Eugene F., and Kenneth R. French. 2015. "A Five-Factor Asset Pricing Model." *Journal of Financial Economics*, vol. 116, no. 1 (April): 1-22.
- Goltz, Felix, and Ben Luyten. 2019. "The Risks of Deviating from Academically-Validated Factors." *Scientific Beta White Paper*.
- Green, Jeremiah, John R.M. Hand, and X. Frank Zhang. 2017. "The Characteristics that Provide Independent Information about Average U.S. Monthly Stock Returns." *Review of Financial Studies*, vol. 30, no. 12 (December): 4389-4436.
- Harvey, Campbell R., Yan Liu, and Heqing Zhu. 2016. "...and the Cross-Section of Expected Returns." *Review of Financial Studies*, vol. 29, no. 1: 5-68.
- Hou, Kewei, Chen Xue, and Lu Zhang. 2015. "Digesting anomalies: An investment approach." *The Review of Financial Studies*, vol 28, no. 3 (March): 650-705.
- Hou, Kewei, Chen Xue, and Lu Zhang. 2019. "Replicating Anomalies." *Review of Financial Studies* (forthcoming).
- Kyosev, Georgi, Matthias X. Hanauer, Joop Huij, and Simon Lansdorp. 2020. "Does Earnings Growth Drive the Quality Premium?." *Journal of Banking and Finance* (forthcoming).
- Jacobs, Heiko, and Sebastian Müller. 2018. "...And Nothing Else Matters? On the Dimensionality and Predictability of International Stock Returns." SSRN working paper, no. 2845306.
- Jacobs, Heiko, and Sebastian Müller. 2020. "Anomalies across the globe: Once public, no longer existent?." *Journal of Financial Economics*, vol. 135, no. 1 (January): 213-230.
- McLean, R. David, and Jeffrey Pontiff. 2016. "Does Academic Research Destroy Stock Return Predictability?" *Journal of Finance*, vol. 71, no. 1 (February): 5-32.
- Stambaugh, Robert F., and Yu Yuan. 2017. "Mispricing Factors." *Review of Financial Studies*, vol. 30, no. 4 (April): 1270-1315.

Important Information

Robeco Institutional Asset Management B.V. has a license as manager of Undertakings for Collective Investment in Transferable Securities (UCITS) and Alternative Investment Funds (AIFs) ("Fund(s)") from The Netherlands Authority for the Financial Markets in Amsterdam. This document is solely intended for professional investors, defined as investors qualifying as professional clients, have requested to be treated as professional clients or are authorized to receive such information under any applicable laws. Robeco Institutional Asset Management B.V. and/or its related, affiliated and subsidiary companies, ("Robeco"), will not be liable for any damages arising out of the use of this document. Users of this information who provide investment services in the European Union have their own responsibility to assess whether they are allowed to receive the information in accordance with MiFID II regulations. To the extent this information qualifies as a reasonable and appropriate minor non-monetary benefit under MiFID II, users that provide investment services in the European Union are responsible to comply with applicable recordkeeping and disclosure requirements. The content of this document is based upon sources of information believed to be reliable and comes without warranties of any kind. Without further explanation this document cannot be considered complete. Any opinions, estimates or forecasts may be changed at any time without prior warning. If in doubt, please seek independent advice. It is intended to provide the professional investor with general information on Robeco's specific capabilities, but has not been prepared by Robeco as investment research and does not constitute an investment recommendation or advice to buy or sell certain securities or investment products and/or to adopt any investment strategy and/or legal, accounting or tax advice. All rights relating to the information in this document are and will remain the property of Robeco. This material may not be copied or used with the public. No part of this document may be reproduced, or published in any form or by any means without Robeco's prior written permission. Investment involves risks. Before investing, please note the initial capital is not guaranteed. Investors should ensure that they fully understand the risk associated with any Robeco product or service offered in their country of domicile ("Funds"). Investors should also consider their own investment objective and risk tolerance level. Historical returns are provided for illustrative purposes only. The price of units may go down as well as up and the past performance is not indicative of future performance. If the currency in which the past performance is displayed differs from the currency of the country in which you reside, then you should be aware that due to exchange rate fluctuations the performance shown may increase or decrease if converted into your local currency. The performance data do not take account of the commissions and costs incurred on trading securities in client portfolios or on the issue and redemption of units. Unless otherwise stated, the prices used for the performance figures of the Luxembourg-based Funds are the end-of-month transaction prices net of fees up to 4 August 2010. From 4 August 2010, the transaction prices net of fees will be those of the first business day of the month. Return figures versus the benchmark show the investment management result before management and/or performance fees; the Fund returns are with dividends reinvested and based on net asset values with prices and exchange rates of the valuation moment of the benchmark. Please refer to the prospectus of the Funds for further details. Performance is quoted net of investment management fees. The ongoing charges mentioned in this document are the ones stated in the Fund's latest annual report at closing date of the last calendar year. This document is not directed to, or intended for distribution to or use by any person or entity who is a citizen or resident of or located in any locality, state, country or other jurisdiction where such distribution, document, availability or use would be contrary to law or regulation or which would subject any Fund or Robeco Institutional Asset Management B.V. to any registration or licensing requirement within such jurisdiction. Any decision to subscribe for interests in a Fund offered in a particular jurisdiction must be made solely on the basis of information contained in the prospectus, which information may be different from the information contained in this document. Prospective applicants for shares should inform themselves as to legal requirements also applying and any applicable exchange control regulations and applicable taxes in the countries of their respective citizenship, residence or domicile. The Fund information, if any, contained in this document is qualified in its entirety by reference to the prospectus, and this document should, at all times, be read in conjunction with the prospectus. Detailed information on the Fund and associated risks is contained in the prospectus. The prospectus and the Key Investor Information Document for the Robeco Funds can all be obtained free of charge at www.robeco.com.

Additional Information for US Investors

Robeco is considered "participating affiliated" and some of their employees are "associated persons" of Robeco Institutional Asset Management US Inc. ("RIAM US") as per relevant SEC no-action guidance. Employees identified as associated persons of RIAM US perform activities directly or indirectly related to the investment advisory services provided by RIAM US. In those situations these individuals are deemed to be acting on behalf of RIAM US, a US SEC registered investment adviser. SEC regulations are applicable only to clients, prospects and investors of RIAM US. RIAM US is wholly owned subsidiary of ORIX Corporation Europe N.V. and offers investment advisory services to institutional clients in the US.

Additional Information for investors with residence or seat in Australia and New Zealand

This document is distributed in Australia by Robeco Hong Kong Limited (ARBN 156 512 659) ("Robeco"), which is exempt from the requirement to hold an Australian financial services license under the Corporations Act 2001 (Cth) pursuant to ASIC Class Order 03/1103. Robeco is regulated by the Securities and Futures Commission under the laws of Hong Kong and those laws may differ from Australian laws. This document is distributed only to "wholesale clients" as that term is defined under the Corporations Act 2001 (Cth). This document is not for distribution or dissemination, directly or indirectly, to any other class of persons. In New Zealand, this document is only available to wholesale investors within the meaning of clause 3(2) of Schedule 1 of the Financial Markets Conduct Act 2013 ("FMCA"). This document is not for public distribution in Australia and New Zealand.

Additional Information for investors with residence or seat in Austria

This information is solely intended for professional investors or eligible counterparties in the meaning of the Austrian Securities Oversight Act.

Additional Information for investors with residence or seat in Brazil

The Fund may not be offered or sold to the public in Brazil. Accordingly, the Fund has not been nor will be registered with the Brazilian Securities Commission – CVM, nor has it been submitted to the foregoing agency for approval. Documents relating to the Fund, as well as the information contained therein, may not be supplied to the public in Brazil, as the offering of the Fund is not a public offering of securities in Brazil, nor may they be used in connection with any offer for subscription or sale of securities to the public in Brazil.

Additional Information for investors with residence or seat in Canada

No securities commission or similar authority in Canada has reviewed or in any way passed upon this document or the merits of the securities described herein, and any representation to the contrary is an offence. Robeco Institutional Asset Management B.V. is relying on the international dealer and international adviser exemption in Quebec and has appointed McCarthy Tétrault LLP as its agent for service in Quebec.

Additional information for investors with residence or seat in the Republic of Chile

Neither the issuer nor the Funds have been registered with the Superintendencia de Valores y Seguros pursuant to law no. 18.045, the Ley de Mercado de Valores and regulations thereunder. This document does not constitute an offer of, or an invitation to subscribe for or purchase, shares of the Funds in the Republic of Chile, other than to the specific person who individually requested this information on his own initiative. This may therefore be treated as a "private offering" within the meaning of article 4 of the Ley de Mercado de Valores (an offer that is not addressed to the public at large or to a certain sector or specific group of the public).

Additional Information for investors with residence or seat in Colombia

This document does not constitute a public offer in the Republic of Colombia. The offer of the Fund is addressed to less than one hundred specifically identified investors. The Fund may not be promoted or marketed in Colombia or to Colombian residents, unless such promotion and marketing is made in compliance with Decree 2555 of 2010 and other applicable rules and regulations related to the promotion of foreign Funds in Colombia.

Additional Information for investors with residence or seat in the Dubai International Financial Centre (DIFC), United Arab Emirates

This material is being distributed by Robeco Institutional Asset Management B.V. (DIFC Branch) located at Office 209, Level 2, Gate Village Building 7, Dubai International Financial Centre, Dubai, PO Box 482060, UAE. Robeco Institutional Asset Management B.V. (DIFC Branch) is regulated by the Dubai Financial Services Authority ("DFSA") and only deals with Professional Clients or Market Counterparties and does not deal with Retail Clients as defined by the DFSA.

Additional Information for investors with residence or seat in France

Robeco is at liberty to provide services in France. Robeco France (only authorized to offer investment advice service to professional investors) has been approved under registry number 10683 by the French prudential control and resolution authority (formerly ACP, now the ACPR) as an investment firm since 28 September 2012.

Additional Information for investors with residence or seat in Germany

This information is solely intended for professional investors or eligible counterparties in the meaning of the German Securities Trading Act.

Additional Information for investors with residence or seat in Hong Kong

The contents of this document have not been reviewed by the Securities and Futures Commission ("SFC") in Hong Kong. If you are in any doubt about any of the contents of this document, you should obtain independent professional advice. This document has been distributed by Robeco Hong Kong Limited ("Robeco"). Robeco is regulated by the SFC in Hong Kong.

Additional Information for investors with residence or seat in Italy

This document is considered for use solely by qualified investors and private professional clients (as defined in Article 26 (1) (b) and (d) of Consob Regulation No. 16190 dated 29 October 2007). If made available to Distributors and individuals authorized by Distributors to conduct promotion and marketing activity, it may only be used for the purpose for which it was conceived. The data and information contained in this document may not be used for communications with Supervisory Authorities. This document does not include any information to determine, in concrete terms, the investment inclination and, therefore, this document cannot and should not be the basis for making any investment decisions.

Additional Information for investors with residence or seat in Japan

This document is considered for use solely by qualified investors and is being distributed by Robeco Japan Company Limited, registered in Japan as a Financial Instruments Business Operator, Kanto Local Finance Bureau (FIBO) registration number 2780, Member of Japan Investment Advisors Association.

Additional Information for investors with residence or seat in Peru

The Fund has not been registered with the Superintendencia del Mercado de Valores (SMV) and is being placed by means of a private offer. SMV has not reviewed the information provided to the investor. This document is only for the exclusive use of institutional investors in Peru and is not for public distribution.

Additional Information for investors with residence or seat in Shanghai

This material is prepared by Robeco Overseas Investment Fund Management (Shanghai) Limited Company ("Robeco Shanghai") and is only provided to the specific objects under the premise of confidentiality. Robeco Shanghai has not yet been registered as a private fund manager with the Asset Management Association of China. Robeco Shanghai is a wholly foreign-owned enterprise established in accordance with the PRC laws, which enjoys independent civil rights and civil obligations. The statements of the shareholders or affiliates in the material shall not be deemed to a promise or guarantee of the shareholders or affiliates of Robeco Shanghai, or be deemed to any obligations or liabilities imposed to the shareholders or affiliates of Robeco Shanghai.

Additional Information for investors with residence or seat in Singapore

This document has not been registered with the Monetary Authority of Singapore ("MAS"). Accordingly, this document may not be circulated or distributed directly or indirectly to persons in Singapore other than (i) to an institutional investor under Section 304 of the SFA, (ii) to a relevant person pursuant to Section 305(1), or any person pursuant to Section 305(2), and in accordance with the conditions specified in Section 305, of the SFA, or (iii) otherwise pursuant to, and in accordance with the conditions of, any other applicable provision of the SFA. The contents of this document have not been reviewed by the MAS. Any decision to participate in the Fund should be made only after reviewing the sections regarding investment considerations, conflicts of interest, risk factors and the relevant Singapore selling restrictions (as described in the section entitled "Important Information for Singapore Investors") contained in the prospectus. You should consult your professional adviser if you are in doubt about the stringent restrictions applicable to the use of this document, regulatory status of the Fund, applicable regulatory protection, associated risks and suitability of the Fund to your objectives. Investors should note that only the sub-Funds listed in the appendix to the section entitled "Important Information for Singapore Investors" of the prospectus ("Sub-Funds") are available to Singapore investors. The Sub-Funds are notified as restricted foreign schemes under the Securities and Futures Act, Chapter 289 of Singapore ("SFA") and are invoking the exemptions from compliance with prospectus registration requirements pursuant to the exemptions under Section 304 and Section 305 of the SFA. The Sub-Funds are not authorized or recognized by the MAS and shares in the Sub-Funds are not allowed to be offered to the retail public in Singapore. The prospectus of the Fund is not a prospectus as defined in the SFA. Accordingly, statutory liability under the SFA in relation to the content of prospectuses would not apply. The Sub-Funds may only be promoted exclusively to persons who are sufficiently experienced and sophisticated to understand the risks involved in investing in such schemes, and who satisfy certain other criteria provided under Section 304, Section 305 or any other applicable provision of the SFA and the subsidiary legislation enacted thereunder. You should consider carefully whether the investment is suitable for you. Robeco Singapore Private Limited holds a capital markets services license for fund management issued by the MAS and is subject to certain clientele restrictions under such license.

Additional Information for investors with residence or seat in Spain

Robeco Institutional Asset Management BV, Branch in Spain is registered in Spain in the Commercial Registry of Madrid, in v.19.957, page 190, section 8, page M-351927 and in the Official Register of the National Securities Market Commission of branches of companies of services of investment of the European Economic Space, with the number 24. It has address in Street Serrano 47, Madrid and CIF W0032687F. The investment funds or SICAV mentioned in this document are regulated by the corresponding authorities of their country of origin and are registered in the Special Registry of the CNMV of Foreign Collective Investment Institutions marketed in Spain.

Additional Information for investors with residence or seat in South Africa

Robeco Institutional Asset Management B.V. is registered and regulated by the Financial Sector Conduct Authority in South Africa.

Additional Information for investors with residence or seat in Switzerland

This document is exclusively distributed in Switzerland to qualified investors as defined in the Swiss Collective Investment Schemes Act (CISA). This material is distributed by RobecoSAM AG, postal address: Josefstrasse 218, 8005 Zurich. ACOLIN Fund Services AG, postal address: Affolternstrasse 56, 8050 Zurich, acts as the Swiss representative of the Fund(s). UBS Switzerland AG, Bahnhofstrasse 45, 8001 Zurich, postal address: Europastrasse 2, P.O. Box, CH-8152 Opfikon, acts as the Swiss paying agent. The prospectus, the Key Investor Information Documents (KIIDs), the articles of association, the annual and semi-annual reports of the Fund(s), as well as the list of the purchases and sales which the Fund(s) has undertaken during the financial year, may be obtained, on simple request and free of charge, at the office of the Swiss representative ACOLIN Fund Services AG. The prospectuses are also available via the website www.robeco.ch.

Additional Information for investors with residence or seat in the United Arab Emirates

Some Funds referred to in this marketing material have been registered with the UAE Securities and Commodities Authority (the Authority). Details of all Registered Funds can be found on the Authority's website. The Authority assumes no liability for the accuracy of the information set out in this material/document, nor for the failure of any persons engaged in the investment Fund in performing their duties and responsibilities.

Additional Information for investors with residence or seat in the United Kingdom

Robeco is subject to limited regulation in the UK by the Financial Conduct Authority. Details about the extent of our regulation by the Financial Conduct Authority are available from us on request.

Additional Information for investors with residence or seat in Uruguay

The sale of the Fund qualifies as a private placement pursuant to section 2 of Uruguayan law 18,627. The Fund must not be offered or sold to the public in Uruguay, except in circumstances which do not constitute a public offering or distribution under Uruguayan laws and regulations. The Fund is not and will not be registered with the Financial Services Superintendency of the Central Bank of Uruguay. The Fund corresponds to investment funds that are not investment funds regulated by Uruguayan law 16,774 dated September 27, 1996, as amended.

Additional Information concerning RobecoSAM Collective Investment Schemes

The RobecoSAM collective investment schemes ("RobecoSAM Funds") in scope are sub-Funds under the Undertakings for Collective Investment in Transferable Securities (UCITS) of MULTIPARTNER SICAV, managed by GAM (Luxembourg) S.A., ("Multipartner"). Multipartner SICAV is incorporated as a Société d'Investissement à Capital Variable which is governed by Luxembourg law. The custodian is State Street Bank Luxembourg S.C.A., 49, Avenue J. F. Kennedy, L-1855 Luxembourg. The prospectus, the Key Investor Information Documents (KIIDs), the articles of association, the annual and semi-annual reports of the RobecoSAM Funds, as well as the list of the purchases and sales which the RobecoSAM Fund(s) has undertaken during the financial year, may be obtained, on simple request and free of charge, via the website www.robecosam.com.