



Strategic allocation to emerging markets factor premiums



White paper
For professional investors
October 2018

Wilma de Groot, PhD, CFA
Weili Zhou, CFA



'We recommend investors to allocate part of their portfolio to factor premiums in emerging equity markets'

Contents

Introduction	4
Emerging versus developed markets equities.....	4
Strategic allocation to emerging markets.....	5
Allocating to factor premiums.....	5
Practical implementation	6
Robustness analyses.....	8
Expected factor premiums	8
Concluding remarks.....	8

Introduction

Many investment portfolios contain a portion of emerging market equities. Common reasons for investors to allocate to this asset class have been fourfold:

- 1) capture a potential risk premium;
- 2) diversify a traditional equity/bond portfolio;
- 3) get some commodity exposure and therefore inflation protection in the portfolio;
- 4) and because it is simply part of the broader market portfolio, see Doeswijk et al. (2014) and Saunders and Walter (2002).

The typical way to determine the weight of emerging markets in a broader portfolio is through historical analyses based on generic capitalization-weighted indices, such as the MSCI Emerging Markets Index. Although this index captures a potential general emerging market premium, it might underestimate the added value of the asset class.

Numerous studies have shown the existence of other risk premiums in equity markets, such as the value and momentum premiums. These effects have been documented in developed equity markets by Fama and French (1992) and Jegadeesh and Titman (1993), among others, but also in emerging markets, by Rouwenhorst (1998, 1999), Van der Hart et al. (2003) and Van der Hart et al. (2005) for example.

In addition, various studies have emphasized the added value of allocating to factor premiums, such as Ang et al. (2009), Bender et al. (2010), Ilmanen and Kizer (2012) and Blitz (2012).

The main conclusion from these studies is that extending a portfolio which only consists of traditional market premiums with various other factor premiums significantly increases its risk-adjusted return. This is due to the relatively low correlations between these premiums, as well as to the higher Sharpe ratios of the factor premiums.

Inspired by these studies which often consider only developed equity markets (DM), the authors take a fresh look at the strategic allocation to emerging equities, considering not only the market portfolio, but also various other factor premiums documented in the emerging equity markets (EM).

The first part of the study discusses the added value of allocating to the emerging equity market premium and shows a clear positive contribution to a traditional equity/bond portfolio from an historical perspective.

In addition, a diversified portfolio of the value and momentum factor premiums in emerging markets exhibited a materially better risk-adjusted performance than the passive market capitalization weighted emerging markets portfolio. Consequently, allocating to these factor premiums added sizable value, also when the developed markets equity part of the portfolio is already allocated to factors.

The conclusions do not materially change when transaction costs and fees are considered. The authors therefore recommend investors to allocate part of their portfolio to factor premiums in emerging equity markets.

Emerging versus developed markets equities

The first analysis presents the long-term return characteristics of market capitalization-weighted DM and EM equities and government bonds, based on well-known and frequently used indices. For developed markets equities the MSCI World total return index is used, and for emerging markets equities, the MSCI Emerging Markets total return index.

In this study, these market capitalization weighted indices will be referred to as passive equities. For government bonds, the JP Morgan World Government Bond index is used.¹ Exhibit 1 shows that from January 1988 (start of the MSCI Emerging Markets index) to December 2017, the excess returns of emerging markets have roughly been 65% higher than those of developed markets (over 3 percentage points).

Exhibit 1: Market premiums

	Equities DM	Equities EM	Bonds
Total return	8.0%	11.4%	5.7%
Excess return	4.8%	8.0%	2.6%
Volatility	14.6%	22.6%	6.2%
Sharpe ratio	0.33	0.36	0.42
Downside risk	10.8%	17.1%	3.6%
Maximum drawdown	-54.7%	-64.4%	-18.1%

Sample period: January 1988 to December 2017

As expected, the volatility has also been substantially higher, around 1.5 times. This leads to a higher Sharpe ratio for EM equities. These results are in line with Bekaert and Harvey (2017), who also find higher returns, higher volatilities and higher Sharpe ratios for emerging markets compared to developed markets, over the period from 1988 to 2015.

The bottom two rows in the table focus on tail risk, as measured by downside risk (standard deviation of the

¹ All excess returns in this study are on top of the one-month US Treasury bill rate. All return related figures are annualized and in US dollars (gross, unhedged), and do not include the impact of transaction costs or management fees, except for Exhibit 6. Average returns are calculated using geometric averaging.

negative excess returns only) and maximum drawdown. The higher downside risk of emerging markets compared to developed markets is consistent with the higher volatility.

However, the maximum drawdown is smaller than expected based on the volatility. Government bonds have performed very well over the sample period, with a Sharpe ratio of 0.42 compared to 0.33 for DM equities.


Strategic allocation to emerging markets

The next part of this analysis is on the added value of strategic allocation to emerging markets. The assumed portfolio consists of a 60% allocation to developed markets equities and a 40% allocation to government bonds.

Based on the historical return series and a monthly rebalancing frequency, this portfolio achieved an annual excess return of 4.2% with a volatility of 9.6%, over the January 1988 to December 2017 period. Next follows a range of portfolios where part of the equity portfolio is allocated to passive emerging markets equities.

This allocation increases gradually by steps of 5%, up to 20%, at the expense of developed markets equities. The weight of government bonds remains the same. For example, the portfolio that allocates 20% to emerging markets, allocates 40% to developed markets equities and 40% to government bonds. Exhibit 2 shows the results.

Exhibit 2 | Strategic allocation to passive market capitalization weighted emerging markets



Sample period: January 1988 until December 2017. The Sharpe ratios of the portfolios are shown next to each data point in the graph.

Allocating to factor premiums

Investors can not only allocate to the emerging market equity premium, but they also can allocate to other premiums, which are known to exist in the equity market. In this paper, the well-known momentum and value premiums are considered. These factors, for which there

is abundant empirical evidence in the academic literature (see e.g. Van der Hart et al., 2003), help achieve higher returns.

The value portfolio is built based on a combination of earnings-to-price ratio and dividend yield criteria. Meanwhile, the momentum portfolio relies on a combination of 12-1 month price momentum and earnings revisions over the latest 3 months.

To be precise, the monthly return of the value portfolio is the equally-weighted return of the 33% most attractive stocks in terms of earnings-to-price ratio, assuming a 6-month holding period. The same applies for dividend yield and then, the two portfolio returns are equally-weighted. The momentum portfolio is constructed in a similar fashion.

'This portfolio exhibits a materially better risk-adjusted performance than a passive emerging markets portfolio.'

The top part of Exhibit 3 shows results for an emerging markets multi-factor quant portfolio consisting of a 50/50% allocation to these value (V) and momentum (M) factor premiums (V+M). This portfolio exhibits a materially better risk-adjusted performance than a passive emerging markets portfolio.

Specifically, the return of this portfolio was over 5% higher than the passive market portfolio with similar volatility. The downside risk and maximum drawdown were also somewhat lower for the factor portfolio in comparison to the market. The Sharpe ratio was around 65% higher than for the market index: 0.59 compared to 0.36 for the market index.

The returns do not include management fees and transaction costs, which could have a large impact on performance. The impact of costs is investigated later on in this study.


Exhibit 3: Factor premiums in emerging and developed markets

	Market	V+M	Value	Momen tum
Emerging markets				
Total return	11.4%	16.7%	16.5%	16.6%
Excess return	8.0%	13.3%	13.1%	13.1%
Volatility	22.6%	22.3%	22.2%	23.8%
Sharpe ratio	0.36	0.59	0.59	0.55
Downside risk	17.1%	15.5%	15.5%	16.6%
Maximum drawdown	-64.4%	-60.2%	-62.5%	-64.3%
Developed markets				
Total return	8.0%	11.8%	12.1%	11.4%
Excess return	4.8%	8.5%	8.8%	8.0%
Volatility	14.6%	14.8%	15.8%	14.4%
Sharpe ratio	0.33	0.57	0.56	0.56
Downside risk	10.8%	11.6%	12.2%	11.4%
Maximum drawdown	-54.7%	-57.8%	-61.6%	-54.4%

Sample period: January 1988 until December 2017. V+M is a multi-factor quant portfolio consisting of a 50/50% allocation to the momentum and value factor premiums.

These results suggest that allocating to an emerging markets multi-factor portfolio adds even more value in the strategic asset allocation than allocating to the market portfolio only. The middle line with diamonds in Exhibit 4 shows an excess return enhancement from 4.2% to 6.1% with Sharpe ratios ranging from 0.44 to 0.60.

Exhibit 4 | Strategic allocation to factor premiums in emerging markets



Sample period: January 1988 until December 2017. The Sharpe ratios of the portfolios are shown next to each data point in the graph.

For investors allocating to both emerging and developed markets, factor allocation may not necessarily be limited to the emerging markets. The bottom part of Exhibit 3 shows the historical factor premiums in developed equity markets.

As in emerging markets, the value and momentum portfolio performed substantially better than the market, with an additional return of 3.8%. Investors may wonder whether, in this case there is still added value in allocating to emerging market factor premiums as well.

The top line in Exhibit 4 shows this effect. The 60% allocation to equities in the portfolio consists of a

developed markets multi-factor portfolio with a 50/50% allocation to a value and momentum portfolio, constructed in a similar fashion as in emerging markets.

This multi-factor portfolio represents already a sizable improvement compared to a passive market portfolio. It had a higher Sharpe ratio of 0.65, compared to 0.44 for the passive portfolio. These results are in line with Blitz (2012). Exhibit 4 shows that allocating to an emerging markets multi-factor portfolio provided a clear improvement.

Another way to look at this is to examine the correlation between the value and momentum premiums in the two markets. The outperformances of the 50/50% multi-factor portfolios have a historical correlation of only 22%. This is an additional argument in favor of factor allocation in emerging markets.

The analyses only take into account portfolios made of equities and bonds without other asset classes, such as alternative investments. One could argue that adding these types of investments would likely increase the Sharpe ratio and therefore potentially lower the added value of emerging markets factor premiums.

However, we are not aware of academic studies that show that alternative investments, such as commodities or mortgages, can explain the existence of value and momentum factor premiums.

Practical implementation

The results discussed so far do not consider management fees nor transaction costs. These can have substantial impact on actual returns. To investigate the effect of these costs, the results presented below contain a conservative approximation of their impact.

The strategies analyzed above are created using simple portfolio construction rules with few assumptions. Therefore, they are not necessarily optimal from a net return perspective.

De Groot, Huij and Zhou (2012) show that slightly more advanced portfolio construction rules deliver higher net returns after costs. In line with their methodology, dynamic portfolios are constructed where stocks are not immediately sold when they exit the top one-third most attractive stocks.

Instead, each quarter, only stocks that no longer belong to the top 50% are sold. These stocks are then replaced by the most attractive stocks at that time not yet included in the portfolio. It is important to note that this dynamic approach leads to the same number of stocks in the portfolio as the static approach, but that the holding

period with the dynamic approach can vary from one stock to another.

Exhibit 5 compares the return characteristics of the previously constructed static V+M factor portfolios in emerging and developed markets and the corresponding V+M factor portfolios based on the dynamic approach. The double-counted annual turnover of the strategies is also presented. The historical turnover is slightly lower for the dynamic approach than for the static approach.

Interestingly, the returns increase. This is because stocks are not required to remain in the portfolio for six months at least anymore. On the one hand, stocks can be sold earlier (after three months) when they have become very unattractive (bottom 50%) while, on the other hand, when after six months, a stock just exited the top one-third group, it will remain in the portfolio.

By holding on to more attractive stocks, on average, the return increases. Meanwhile, volatilities remain very similar.

Exhibit 5 | V+M factor premiums based on different rebalancing rules

	Emerging markets		Developed markets	
	Static	Dynamic	Static	Dynamic
Total return	16.7%	18.0%	11.8%	11.9%
Excess return	13.3%	14.5%	8.5%	8.6%
Volatility	22.3%	22.4%	14.8%	14.8%
Sharpe ratio	0.59	0.64	0.57	0.58
Turnover	143%	135%	137%	126%

Sample period: January 1988 until December 2017. Results are for the V+M multi-factor quant portfolio consisting of a 50/50% allocation to the momentum and value factor premiums. Turnover figures are double-counted per annum.

The following analysis focuses on the added value of allocating to emerging markets while taking into account trading costs. For that purpose, trading costs of 30 basis points for developed markets stocks and 50 basis points for emerging markets stocks are assumed. These include both market impact costs as well as commission fees.

These estimates seem conservative compared to those of 9 basis points on average for the U.S. and 25-28 basis points for Europe, reported in De Groot, Huij and Zhou (2012). Also, although stocks are regularly included and excluded in market capitalization weighted indices, the turnover for these indices is conservatively assumed to be zero.

In addition to trading costs, management fees are also considered. For passive developed markets a 10 basis points annualized fee is assumed and for emerging markets a 20 basis points annualized fee. For the factor portfolios, an additional 10 basis points per annum mark-up is assumed, leading to a total 20 basis points fee for developed markets and a total 30 basis points fee for

emerging markets. For passive bonds, a 5 basis points management fee is assumed.

Exhibit 6 shows the results for factor portfolios with a dynamic construction process. The gross returns are the returns without any costs and fees assumptions, while the net excess return, volatility and net Sharpe ratio incorporate transaction costs and management fees.

The observed improvements are similar to those reported in Exhibit 4. For example, allocating 20% to a multi-factor emerging markets portfolio increases the net Sharpe ratio with 0.16 compared to a passive developed markets portfolio that excludes emerging markets stocks. This number is similar before taking costs into account.

Exhibit 6 | Strategic allocation to factor premiums gross and net of costs in emerging markets

Weight EM	0%	5%	10%	15%	20%
DM passive, EM Passive					
Gross excess return	4.2%	4.4%	4.7%	4.9%	5.1%
Net excess return	4.1%	4.3%	4.6%	4.8%	5.0%
Volatility	9.6%	9.7%	9.8%	10.1%	10.3%
Net Sharpe ratio	0.43	0.45	0.46	0.47	0.48
DM passive, EM multi-factor					
Gross excess return	4.2%	4.7%	5.3%	5.8%	6.3%
Net excess return	4.1%	4.6%	5.1%	5.6%	6.0%
Volatility	9.6%	9.6%	9.8%	10.0%	10.2%
Net Sharpe ratio	0.43	0.48	0.52	0.56	0.59
DM multi-factor, EM multi-factor					
Gross excess return	6.4%	6.8%	7.1%	7.5%	7.8%
Net excess return	6.0%	6.4%	6.7%	7.0%	7.3%
Volatility	9.7%	9.9%	10.0%	10.2%	10.5%
Net Sharpe ratio	0.62	0.65	0.67	0.68	0.70

Sample period: January 1988 until December 2017.

Another way to look at costs and the robustness of the results mentioned above is to investigate the so-called breakeven transaction costs for which the added value of allocating to the emerging markets factor portfolio is the same as for an allocation to the passive emerging markets portfolio.

Only when the transactions costs are nine times higher than those assumed in the above analysis – that is 2.70% for developed markets and 4.50% for emerging markets – does the added value of allocating to the emerging markets factor portfolio disappear.

For example, with these assumed transaction costs, allocating 20% to a multi-factor emerging markets portfolio increases the net Sharpe ratio to 0.49. This compares to 0.43 for a portfolio without allocation to emerging markets.

Meanwhile, a 20% allocation to passive emerging markets leads a Sharpe ratio of 0.48. The excess returns are actually similar, but volatility is slightly lower for the factor portfolio, resulting in a slightly higher Sharpe ratio.

There is still added value in allocating to emerging markets due to diversification benefits. The conclusion is that even when transaction costs and management fees are incorporated, allocating to emerging markets factor premiums still adds substantial value.

Robustness analyses

This section summarizes the results of several sensitivity analyses that give a better insight into the robustness of factor premiums. To avoid lengthy disclosure, these results are not reported. To evaluate the added value of emerging markets through time, the sample period is split in two equal sub-samples: from January 1988 until the end of 2002 and from 2003 until December 2017.

The results in both sample periods are in line with the findings for the complete sample. Allocating to emerging markets improved the Sharpe ratio of the portfolio in both sub-periods. In addition, allocating to the value and momentum factors further improved the Sharpe ratios.

Investors might also wonder how much of the additional returns comes from allocation effects to sectors or countries. To answer that question, additional analyses are performed, where the monthly return of the factor portfolios is the equally-weighted return of the 33% most attractive stocks in each country (for emerging markets) and in each region/sector (for developed markets).

Here again, the multi-factor emerging market portfolio showed a large Sharpe ratio improvement. However, the improvement in Sharpe ratio is smaller compared to the non-neutral results. This means that giving more weight to cheaper countries with strong momentum also added value.

Expected factor premiums

The existence of factor premiums such as value and momentum has been widely acknowledged in the academic literature. However, there is no consensus on the underlying causes of these premiums. The explanations that have been proposed in different studies can be grouped into four categories:

- 1) the premium is a result of data mining;
- 2) the premium disappears when trading costs are taken into account;
- 3) the return premium is a compensation for a particular form of risk;
- 4) the premium has a behavioral explanation, meaning that the behavior of market participants systematically influences asset prices and thereby causes market inefficiencies.

The data mining argument does not seem to be very plausible, given the abundance of historical evidence for the value and momentum factors in many markets and over very long periods of time (see e.g. Asness, Moskowitz and Pedersen, 2013). Trading costs are very important but, as shown in this study, substantial net factor premiums remain when advanced portfolio construction rules are applied. This explains why the focus in the literature has been on the risk and behavior explanations.

For example, for the value premium, some academics argue that value is a compensation for risk, e.g. Fama and French (1992) and Vassalou and Xing (2003), while others argue that is unlikely (De Groot and Huij, 2018) or suggest it is a result of structural mispricing arising from systematic behavioral biases of investors, e.g. Lakonishok et al. (1994).

Although finding the exact explanation would help investors, this is not a necessary condition for the purpose of this study. If an investor believes the premium reflects behavioral biases, then the question is whether this behavior will persist in the future. And if the investor believes the premium is a compensation for risk, then the question is whether this risk will remain and be rewarded going forward.

Related to this topic is whether the magnitude of historical market and factor premiums will remain similar in the future. This is something difficult to determine and there seems to be no consensus on future expected premiums. However, several of the robustness analyses performed – for example focusing on the more recent time period or taking costs into account – shed some light on this question. Even in the case of very conservative assumptions on costs, allocating to the premiums added value.

Concluding remarks

Allocating part of an investor's portfolio to emerging markets equities historically increased the portfolio's risk-adjusted return. The added value is even larger when value and momentum factors in this asset class are taken into account. More advanced portfolio construction rules and the incorporation of conservative assumptions on transaction costs and management fees do not alter these conclusions.

We therefore recommend investors to allocate part of their portfolio to factor premiums in emerging equity markets. In this study the focus has been on the added value of relatively straightforward generic factors. Logically, investors may consider allocating to enhanced factor strategies in which more efficient factor definitions and more sophisticated portfolio optimization rules are used.

References

- Ang, A., W. N. Goetzmann, and S. Schaefer, 2009, Evaluation of Active Management of the Norwegian Government Pension Fund – Global, Report to the Norwegian Ministry of Finance.
- Asness, C. S., T. J. Moskowitz, and L. H. Pedersen, 2013, Value and Momentum Everywhere, *The Journal of Finance*, vol. 68 nr. 3: 929-85. Bekaert, G. and C.R. Harvey, 2017, Emerging Equity Markets in a Globalizing World, SSRN working paper.
- Bender, J., R. Briand, F. Nielsen and D. Stefek, 2010, Portfolio of Risk Premia: A New Approach to Diversification, *The Journal of Portfolio Management*, Winter 2010, vol. 36 nr. 2: 17-25.
- Blitz, D., 2012, Strategic Allocation to Premiums in the Equity Market, *Journal of Index Investing*, vol. 2: 42-49.
- Doeswijk, R., T. Lam, and L. Swinkels, 2014, The Global Multi-Asset Market Portfolio, 1959–2012, *Financial Analyst Journal*, vol. 70 nr. 2: 26-41.
- De Groot, W. and J. Huij, 2018, Are the Fama-French Factors Really Compensation for Distress Risk?, *Journal of International Money and Finance*, vol. 86: 50-69.
- De Groot, W., J. Huij and W. Zhou, 2012, Another Look at Trading Costs and Short-Term Reversal Profits, *Journal of Banking and Finance*, vol. 36 nr. 2: 371-382.
- Fama, E.F. and K.R. French, 1992, The Cross-Section of Expected Stock Returns, *Journal of Finance*, vol. 47 nr. 2: 427-465.
- Ilmanen, A. and J. Kizer, 2012, The Death of Diversification Has Been Greatly Exaggerated, *Journal of Portfolio Management*, vol. 38 nr. 3: 15-27.
- Lakonishok, J., A. Shleifer, and R. W. Vishny, 1994, Contrarian Investment, Extrapolation, and Risk, *Journal of Finance*, vol. 49 nr. 5: 1541-1578.
- Jegadeesh, N., and Titman, S., 1993, Returns to Buying Winners and Selling Losers: Implications for Stock Market Efficiency, *Journal of Finance*, vol. 48nr. 1: 65-91.
- Rouwenhorst, K., 1998, International Momentum Strategies, *Journal of Finance*, vol. 53 nr. 1: 267-284.
- Rouwenhorst, K., 1999, Local Return Factors and Turnover in Emerging Stock Markets, *Journal of Finance*, vol. 54 nr. 4: 1439-1464.
- Saunders, A. and I. Walter, 2002, Are Emerging Market Equities a Separate Asset Class?, *Journal of Portfolio Management*, vol. 28 nr. 3: 102-114.
- Van der Hart, J., Slagter, E. and D.C. Van Dijk, 2003, Stock selection strategies in emerging markets, *Journal of Empirical Finance*, vol. 10: 105-132.
- Van der Hart, J., D.C. Van Dijk, and G. J. De Zwart, 2005, The Success of Stock Selection Strategies in Emerging Markets: Is it Risk or Behavioral Bias?, *Emerging Markets Review*, vol. 6 nr. 3: 238-262.
- Vassalou, M. and Y. Xing, 2004, Default Risk in Equity Returns, *Journal of Finance*, vol. 59 nr. 2: 831–868.

Important Information

Robeco Institutional Asset Management B.V. has a license as manager of Undertakings for Collective Investment in Transferable Securities (UCITS) and Alternative Investment Funds (AIFs) ("Fund(s)") from The Netherlands Authority for the Financial Markets in Amsterdam. This document is solely intended for professional investors, defined as investors qualifying as professional clients, have requested to be treated as professional clients or are authorized to receive such information under any applicable laws. Robeco Institutional Asset Management B.V. and/or its related, affiliated and subsidiary companies, ("Robeco"), will not be liable for any damages arising out of the use of this document. Users of this information who provide investment services in the European Union have their own responsibility to assess whether they are allowed to receive the information in accordance with MIFID II regulations. To the extent this information qualifies as a reasonable and appropriate minor non-monetary benefit under MIFID II, users that provide investment services in the European Union are responsible to comply with applicable recordkeeping and disclosure requirements. The content of this document is based upon sources of information believed to be reliable and comes without warranties of any kind. Without further explanation this document cannot be considered complete. Any opinions, estimates or forecasts may be changed at any time without prior warning. If in doubt, please seek independent advice. It is intended to provide the professional investor with general information on Robeco's specific capabilities, but has not been prepared by Robeco as investment research and does not constitute an investment recommendation or advice to buy or sell certain securities or investment products and/or to adopt any investment strategy and/or legal, accounting or tax advice. All rights relating to the information in this document are and will remain the property of Robeco. This material may not be copied or used with the public. No part of this document may be reproduced, or published in any form or by any means without Robeco's prior written permission. Investment involves risks. Before investing, please note the initial capital is not guaranteed. Investors should ensure that they fully understand the risk associated with any Robeco product or service offered in their country of domicile ("Funds"). Investors should also consider their own investment objective and risk tolerance level. Historical returns are provided for illustrative purposes only. The price of units may go down as well as up and the past performance is not indicative of future performance. If the currency in which the past performance is displayed differs from the currency of the country in which you reside, then you should be aware that due to exchange rate fluctuations the performance shown may increase or decrease if converted into your local currency. The performance data do not take account of the commissions and costs incurred on trading securities in client portfolios or on the issue and redemption of units. Unless otherwise stated, the prices used for the performance figures of the Luxembourg-based Funds are the end-of-month transaction prices net of fees up to 4 August 2010. From 4 August 2010, the transaction prices net of fees will be those of the first business day of the month. Return figures versus the benchmark show the investment management result before management and/or performance fees; the Fund returns are with dividends reinvested and based on net asset values with prices and exchange rates of the valuation month of the benchmark. Please refer to the prospectus of the Funds for further details. Performance is quoted net of investment management fees. The ongoing charges mentioned in this document are the ones stated in the Fund's latest annual report at closing date of the last calendar year. This document is not directed to, or intended for distribution to or use by any person or entity who is a citizen or resident of or located in any locality, state, country or other jurisdiction where such distribution, document, availability or use would be contrary to law or regulation or which would subject any Fund or Robeco Institutional Asset Management B.V. to any registration or licensing requirement within such jurisdiction. Any decision to subscribe for interests in a Fund offered in a particular jurisdiction must be made solely on the basis of information contained in the prospectus, which information may be different from the information contained in this document. Prospective applicants for shares should inform themselves as to legal requirements also applying and any applicable exchange control regulations and applicable taxes in the countries of their respective citizenship, residence or domicile. The Fund information, if any, contained in this document is qualified in its entirety by reference to the prospectus, and this document should, at all times, be read in conjunction with the prospectus. Detailed information on the Fund and associated risks is contained in the prospectus. The prospectus and the Key Investor Information Document for the Robeco Funds can all be obtained free of charge at www.robeco.com.

Additional Information for US investors

Neither Robeco Institutional Asset Management B.V. nor the Robeco Capital Growth Funds have been registered under the United States Federal Securities Laws, including the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended, the United States Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Investment Advisers Act of 1940. No Fund shares may be offered or sold, directly or indirectly, in the United States or to any US Person. A US Person is defined as (a) any individual who is a citizen or resident of the United States for federal income tax purposes; (b) a corporation, partnership or other entity created or organized under the laws of or existing in the United States; (c) an estate or trust the income of which is subject to United States federal income tax regardless of whether such income is effectively connected with a United States trade or business. Robeco Institutional Asset Management US Inc. ("RIAM US"), an Investment Adviser registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, is a wholly owned subsidiary of ORIX Corporation Europe N.V. and offers investment advisory services to institutional clients in the US. In connection with these advisory services, RIAM US will utilize shared personnel of its affiliates, Robeco Nederland B.V. and Robeco Institutional Asset Management B.V., for the provision of investment, research, operational and administrative services.

Additional Information for investors with residence or seat in Australia and New Zealand

This document is distributed in Australia by Robeco Hong Kong Limited (ARBN 156 512 659) ("Robeco"), which is exempt from the requirement to hold an Australian financial services license under the Corporations Act 2001 (Cth) pursuant to ASIC Class Order 03/1103. Robeco is regulated by the Securities and Futures Commission under the laws of Hong Kong and those laws may differ from Australian laws. This document is distributed only to "wholesale clients" as that term is defined under the Corporations Act 2001 (Cth). This document is not for distribution or dissemination, directly or indirectly, to any other class of persons. In New Zealand, this document is only available to wholesale investors within the meaning of clause 3(2) of Schedule 1 of the Financial Markets Conduct Act 2013 ("FMCA"). This document is not for public distribution in Australia and New Zealand.

Additional Information for investors with residence or seat in Austria

This information is solely intended for professional investors or eligible counterparties in the meaning of the Austrian Securities Oversight Act.

Additional Information for investors with residence or seat in Brazil

The Fund may not be offered or sold to the public in Brazil. Accordingly, the Fund has not been nor will be registered with the Brazilian Securities Commission – CVM, nor has it been submitted to the foregoing agency for approval. Documents relating to the Fund, as well as the information contained therein, may not be supplied to the public in Brazil, as the offering of the Fund is not a public offering of securities in Brazil, nor may they be used in connection with any offer for subscription or sale of securities to the public in Brazil.

Additional Information for investors with residence or seat in Canada

No securities commission or similar authority in Canada has reviewed or in any way passed upon this document or the merits of the securities described herein, and any representation to the contrary is an offence. Robeco Institutional Asset Management B.V. is relying on the international dealer and international adviser exemption in Quebec and has appointed McCarthy Tétrault LLP as its agent for service in Quebec.

Additional Information for investors with residence or seat in Colombia

This document does not constitute a public offer in the Republic of Colombia. The offer of the Fund is addressed to less than one hundred specifically identified investors. The Fund may not be promoted or marketed in Colombia or to Colombian residents, unless such promotion and marketing is made in compliance with Decree 2555 of 2010 and other applicable rules and regulations related to the promotion of foreign Funds in Colombia.

Additional Information for investors with residence or seat in the Dubai International Financial Centre (DIFC), United Arab Emirates

This material is being distributed by Robeco Institutional Asset Management B.V. (Dubai Office) located at Office 209, Level 2, Gate Village Building 7, Dubai International Financial Centre, Dubai, PO Box 482060, UAE. Robeco Institutional Asset Management B.V. (Dubai office) is regulated by the Dubai Financial Services Authority ("DFSA") and only deals with Professional Clients or Market Counterparties and does not deal with Retail Clients as defined by the DFSA.

Additional Information for investors with residence or seat in France

Robeco is at liberty to provide services in France. Robeco France (only authorized to offer investment advice service to professional investors) has been approved under registry number 10683 by the French prudential control and resolution authority (formerly ACP, now the ACPR) as an investment firm since 28 September 2012.

Additional Information for investors with residence or seat in Germany

This information is solely intended for professional investors or eligible counterparties in the meaning of the German Securities Trading Act.

Additional Information for investors with residence or seat in Hong Kong

The contents of this document have not been reviewed by the Securities and Futures Commission ("SFC") in Hong Kong. If you are in any doubt about any of the contents of this document, you should obtain independent professional advice. This document has been distributed by Robeco Hong Kong Limited ("Robeco"). Robeco is regulated by the SFC in Hong Kong.

Additional Information for investors with residence or seat in Italy

This document is considered for use solely by qualified investors and private professional clients (as defined in Article 26 (1) (b) and (d) of Consob Regulation No. 16190 dated 29 October 2007). If made available to Distributors and individuals authorized by Distributors to conduct promotion and marketing activity, it may only be used for the purpose for which it was conceived. The data and information contained in this document may not be used for communications with Supervisory Authorities. This document does not include any information to determine, in concrete terms, the investment inclination and, therefore, this document cannot and should not be the basis for making any investment decisions.

Additional Information for investors with residence or seat in Peru

The Fund has not been registered with the Superintendencia del Mercado de Valores (SMV) and is being placed by means of a private offer. SMV has not reviewed the information provided to the investor. This document is only for the exclusive use of institutional investors in Peru and is not for public distribution.

Additional Information for investors with residence or seat in Shanghai

This material is prepared by Robeco Investment Management Advisory (Shanghai) Limited Company ("Robeco Shanghai") and is only provided to the specific objects under the premise of confidentiality. Robeco Shanghai has not yet been registered as a private fund manager with the Asset Management Association of China. Robeco Shanghai is a wholly foreign-owned enterprise established in accordance with the PRC laws, which enjoys independent civil rights and civil obligations. The statements of the shareholders or affiliates in the material shall not be deemed to a promise or guarantee of the shareholders or affiliates of Robeco Shanghai, or be deemed to any obligations or liabilities imposed to the shareholders or affiliates of Robeco Shanghai.

Additional Information for investors with residence or seat in Singapore

This document has not been registered with the Monetary Authority of Singapore ("MAS"). Accordingly, this document may not be circulated or distributed directly or indirectly to persons in Singapore other than (i) to an institutional investor under Section 304 of the SFA, (ii) to a relevant person pursuant to Section 305(1), or any person pursuant to Section 305(2), and in accordance with the conditions specified in Section 305 of the SFA, or (iii) otherwise pursuant to, and in accordance with the conditions of, any other applicable provision of the SFA. The contents of this document have not been reviewed by the MAS. Any decision to participate in the Fund should be made only after reviewing the sections regarding investment considerations, conflicts of interest, risk factors and the relevant Singapore selling restrictions (as described in the section entitled "Important Information for Singapore Investors") contained in the prospectus. You should consult your professional adviser if you are in doubt about the stringent restrictions applicable to the use of this document, regulatory status of the Fund, applicable regulatory protection, associated risks and suitability of the Fund to your objectives. Investors should note that only the sub-funds listed in the appendix to the section entitled "Important Information for Singapore Investors" of the prospectus ("Sub-Funds") are available to Singapore investors. The Sub-Funds are notified as restricted foreign schemes under the Securities and Futures Act, Chapter 289 of Singapore ("SFA") and are invoking the exemptions from compliance with prospectus registration requirements pursuant to the exemptions under Section 304 and Section 305 of the SFA. The Sub-Funds are not authorized or recognized by the MAS and shares in the Sub-Funds are not allowed to be offered to the retail public in Singapore. The prospectus of the Fund is not a prospectus as defined in the SFA. Accordingly, statutory liability under the SFA in relation to the content of prospectuses would not apply. The Sub-Funds may only be promoted exclusively to persons who are sufficiently experienced and sophisticated to understand the risks involved in investing in such schemes, and who satisfy certain other criteria provided under Section 304, Section 305 or any other applicable provision of the SFA and the subsidiary legislation enacted thereunder. You should consider carefully whether the investment is suitable for you. Robeco Singapore Private Limited holds a capital markets services license for fund management issued by the MAS and is subject to certain clientele restrictions under such license.

Additional Information for investors with residence or seat in Spain

The Spanish branch Robeco Institutional Asset Management B.V., Sucursal en España, having its registered office at Paseo de la Castellana 42, 28046 Madrid, is registered with the Spanish Authority for the Financial Markets (CNMV) in Spain under registry number 24.

Additional Information for investors with residence or seat in Switzerland

This document is exclusively distributed in Switzerland to qualified investors as defined in the Swiss Collective Investment Schemes Act (CISA) by Robeco Switzerland AG which is authorized by the Swiss Financial Market Supervisory Authority FINMA as Swiss representative of foreign collective investment schemes, and UBS Switzerland AG, Bahnhofstrasse 45, 8001 Zurich, postal address: Europastrasse 2, P.O. Box, CH-8152 Opfikon, as Swiss paying agent. The prospectus, the Key Investor Information Documents (KIIDs), the articles of association, the annual and semi-annual reports of the Fund(s), as well as the list of the purchases and sales which the Fund(s) has undertaken during the financial year, may be obtained, on simple request and free of charge, at the office of the Swiss representative Robeco Switzerland AG, Josefstrasse 218, CH-8005 Zurich. The prospectuses are also available via the website www.robeco.ch.

Additional Information for investors with residence or seat in the United Arab Emirates

Some Funds referred to in this marketing material have been registered with the UAE Securities and Commodities Authority (the Authority). Details of all Registered Funds can be found on the Authority's website. The Authority assumes no liability for the accuracy of the information set out in this material/document, nor for the failure of any persons engaged in the investment Fund in performing their duties and responsibilities.

Additional Information for investors with residence or seat in the United Kingdom

Robeco is subject to limited regulation in the UK by the Financial Conduct Authority. Details about the extent of our regulation by the Financial Conduct Authority are available from us on request.

Additional Information for investors with residence or seat in Uruguay

The sale of the Fund qualifies as a private placement pursuant to section 2 of Uruguayan law 18,627. The Fund must not be offered or sold to the public in Uruguay, except in circumstances which do not constitute a public offering or distribution under Uruguayan laws and regulations. The Fund is not and will not be registered with the Financial Services Superintendency of the Central Bank of Uruguay. The Fund corresponds to investment funds that are not investment funds regulated by Uruguayan law 16,774 dated September 27, 1996, as amended.

Additional Information concerning RobecoSAM Collective Investment Schemes

The RobecoSAM collective investment schemes ("RobecoSAM Funds") in scope are sub funds under the Undertakings for Collective Investment in Transferable Securities (UCITS) of MULTIPARTNER SICAV, managed by GAM (Luxembourg) S.A., ("Multipartner"). Multipartner SICAV is incorporated as a Société d'Investissement à Capital Variable which is governed by Luxembourg law. The custodian is State Street Bank Luxembourg S.C.A., 49, Avenue J. F. Kennedy, L-1855 Luxembourg. The prospectus, the Key Investor Information Documents (KIIDs), the articles of association, the annual and semi-annual reports of the RobecoSAM Funds, as well as the list of the purchases and sales which the RobecoSAM Fund(s) has undertaken during the financial year, may be obtained, on simple request and free of charge, via the website www.robecosam.com or www.funds.gam.com.